#### V.V. Kisel, E.M. Ovsiyuk, V.M. Red'kov, N.G. Tokarevskaya Maxwell equations in matrix form, squaring procedure, separating the variables, and structure of electromagnetic solutions

Belorussian State Pedagogical University, Minsk Institute of Physics, National Academy of Sciences of Belarus Belorussian Economical University, Minsk

The Riemann – Silberstein – Majorana – Oppenheimer approach to the Maxwell electrodynamics in vacuum is investigated within the matrix formalism. The matrix form of electrodynamics includes three real  $4 \times 4$  matrices:  $(-i\partial_0 + \alpha^j \partial_j)\Psi(x) = 0$ , where  $\Psi(x) = (0, \mathbf{E}(x) + ic\mathbf{B}(x))$ . Within the squaring procedure we construct four formal solutions of the Maxwell equations on the base of scalar Klein – Fock – Gordon solutions:  $\{\Psi^0, \Psi^1, \Psi^2, \Psi^3\} = (i\partial_0 + \alpha^1\partial_1 + \alpha^2\partial_2 + \alpha^3\partial_3) \Phi(x)$ , where  $\Phi(x)$  satisfies equation  $\partial^a \partial_a \Phi(x) = 0$ . The problem of separating physical electromagnetic waves in the linear space  $\{\lambda_0 \Psi^0 + \lambda_1 \Psi^1 + \lambda_2 \Psi^2 + \lambda_3 \Psi^3\}$  is investigated, several particular cases, plane waves and cylindrical waves, are considered in detail.

### 1 Introduction

Special relativity arose from study of the Maxwell equations symmetry with respect to motion of references frames: Lorentz [1], Poincaré [2], Einstein [3] Naturally, an analysis of the Maxwell equations with respect to Lorentz transformations was the first objects of relativity theory: Minkowski [4], Silberstein [5, 6], Marcolongo [8], Bateman [9], and Lanczos [10], Gordon [11], Mandel'stam – Tamm [12, 13, 14].

After Dirac [15] discovery of the relativistic equation for a particle with spin 1/2 much work was done to study spinor and vectors within the Lorentz group theory: Möglich [16], Ivanenko – Landau [17], Neumann [18], van der Waerden [19], Juvet [20]. As was shown any quantity which transforms linearly under Lorentz transformations is a spinor. For that reason spinor quantities are considered as fundamental in quantum field theory and basic equations for such quantities should be written in a spinor form. A spinor formulation of Maxwell equations was studied by Laporte and Uhlenbeck [21], also see Rumer [28]. In 1931, Majorana [23] and Oppenheimer [22] proposed to consider the Maxwell theory of electromagnetism as the wave mechanics of the photon. They introduced a complex 3-vector wave function satisfying the massless Dirac-like equations. Before Majorana and Oppenheimer, the most crucial steps were made by Silberstein [5], he showed the possibility to have formulated Maxwell equation in term of complex 3-vector entities. Silberstein in his second paper [6] writes that the complex form of Maxwell equations has been known before; he refers there to the second volume of the lecture notes on the differential equations of mathematical physics by B. Riemann that were edited and published by H. Weber in 1901 [7]. This not widely used fact is noted by Bialynicki-Birula [103]).

Maxwell equations in the matrix Dirac-like form considered during long time by many authors: Luis de Broglie [24, 25, 30, 36], Petiau [26], Proca [27, 44], Duffin [29], Kemmer [31, 41, 61], Bhabha [32], Belinfante [33, 34], Taub [35], Sakata – Taketani [37], Schrödinger [39, 40], Heitler [42], [45, 46], Mercier [47], Imaeda [48], Fujiwara [50], Ohmura [51], Borgardt [52, 59], Fedorov [53], Kuohsien [54], Bludman [55], Good [56], Moses [57, 60, 76], Lomont [58], Bogush – Fedorov [64], Sachs – Schwebel [66], Ellis [68], Oliver [70], Beckers – Pirotte [71], Casanova [72], Carmeli [73], Bogush [74], Lord [75], Weingarten [77], Mignani – Recami – Baldo [78], Newman [79], [80], [82], Edmonds [83], Silveira [86]; the interest to the Majorana – Oppenheimer formulation of electrodynamics has grown in recent years: Jena – Naik – Pradhan [87], Venuri [88], Chow [89], Fushchich – Nikitin [90], Cook [92, 93], Giannetto [96], Yépez, Brito –

Vargas [97], Kidd – Ardini – Anton [98], Recami [99], Krivsky – Simulik [101], Inagaki [102], Bialynicki-Birula [103, 104, 128], Sipe [105], [106], Esposito [108], Dvoeglazov [109] (see a big list of relevant references therein)-[111], Gersten [107], Kanatchikov [110], Gsponer [112], Ivezic [113, 114, 115, 116, 117, 118, 119, 120, 121], Donev – Tashkova. [125, 126, 127].

Our treatment will be with a quite definite accent: the main attention is given to possibilities given by the matrix approach for explicit constructing electromagnetic solutions of the Maxwell equations. In vacuum case, the matrix form includes three real  $4 \times 4$  matrices  $\alpha^{j}$ :

$$(-i\partial_0 + \alpha^j \partial_j)\Psi(x) = 0 ,$$

where  $\Psi(x) = (0, \mathbf{E}(x) + ic\mathbf{B}(x))$ . With the use of squaring procedure one may construct four formal solutions of the Maxwell equations on the base of scalar solution of the Klein – Fock – Gordon equation:

$$\{\Psi^0, \Psi^1, \Psi^2, \Psi^3\} = (i\partial_0 + \alpha^1\partial_1 + \alpha^2\partial_2 + \alpha^3\partial_3) \Phi(x), \qquad \partial^a\partial_a\Phi(x) = 0.$$

The problem of separating physical electromagnetic solutions in the linear space  $\{\lambda_0 \Psi^0 + \lambda_1 \Psi^1 + \lambda_2 \Psi^2 + \lambda_3 \Psi^3\}$  is investigated. Several particular cases are considered in detail.

### 2 Complex matrix form of Maxwell theory in vacuum

Let us start with Maxwell equations in vacuum [38, 63, 82, 129] (with the use of usual notation for current 4-vector  $j^a = (\rho, \mathbf{J}/c)$ ,  $c^2 = 1/\epsilon_0 \mu_0$ ):

$$\operatorname{div} c\mathbf{B} = 0 , \qquad \operatorname{rot} \mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial c\mathbf{B}}{\partial ct} ,$$

$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{E} = \frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0} , \qquad \operatorname{rot} c\mathbf{B} = \frac{\mathbf{j}}{\epsilon_0} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial ct} ,$$
(1)

Let us introduce 3-dimensional complex vector  $\psi^k = E^k + icB^k$ , with the help of which the above equations can be combined into (see Silberschtein [5, 6], Bateman [9], Majorana [23], Oppenheimer [22], and many others)

$$\partial_{1}\Psi^{1} + \partial_{2}\Psi^{0} + \partial_{3}\Psi^{3} = j^{0}/\epsilon_{0} , \quad -i\partial_{0}\psi^{1} + (\partial_{2}\psi^{3} - \partial_{3}\psi^{2}) = i j^{1}/\epsilon_{0} ,$$
  

$$-i\partial_{0}\psi^{2} + (\partial_{3}\psi^{1} - \partial_{1}\psi^{3}) = i j^{2}/\epsilon_{0} , \quad -i\partial_{0}\psi^{3} + (\partial_{1}\psi^{2} - \partial_{2}\psi^{1}) = i j^{3}/\epsilon_{0} .$$
 (2)

let  $x_0 = ct$ ,  $\partial_0 = c\partial_t$ . These four relations can be rewritten in a matrix form using a 4-dimensional column  $\psi$  with one additional zero-element [90, 130]:

$$(-i\partial_0 + \alpha^j \partial_j) \Psi = J , \qquad \Psi = \begin{vmatrix} 0 \\ \psi^1 \\ \psi^2 \\ \psi^3 \end{vmatrix}, \qquad J = \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} \begin{vmatrix} j^0 \\ i j^1 \\ i j^2 \\ i j^3 \end{vmatrix},$$

$$\alpha^1 = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{vmatrix}, \qquad \alpha^2 = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{vmatrix}, \qquad \alpha^3 = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{vmatrix}$$

$$(\alpha^1)^2 = -I, \qquad (\alpha^2)^2 = -I, \qquad (\alpha^2)^2 = -I,$$

$$\alpha^1 \alpha^2 = -\alpha^2 \alpha^1 = \alpha^3, \qquad \alpha^2 \alpha^3 = -\alpha^3 \alpha^2 = \alpha^1, \qquad \alpha^3 \alpha^1 = -\alpha^1 \alpha^3 = \alpha^2.$$

$$(3)$$

## 3 Method to construct electromagnetic solutions from scalar ones

The above matrix form of Maxwell theory:

$$(-i\partial_0 + \alpha^j \partial_j)\Psi = 0 , \qquad \Psi = \begin{vmatrix} 0 \\ \psi^1 \\ \psi^2 \\ \psi^3 \end{vmatrix} . \tag{4}$$

permits us to develop a simple method of finding solutions of Maxwell equations on the base of known solutions of the scalar massless equation by Klein – Fock – Gordon. Indeed, in virtue of the above commutative relations we have an operator identity

$$(-i\partial_0 + \alpha^1\partial_1 + \alpha^2\partial_2 + \alpha^3\partial_3) (-i\partial_0 - \alpha^1\partial_1 - \alpha^2\partial_2 - \alpha^3\partial_3) = (-\partial_0^2 + \partial_1^2 + \partial_2^2 + \partial_3^3).$$

Therefore, taking any special scalar solution  $(-\partial_0^2 + \partial_1^2 + \partial_2^2 + \partial_3^2) \Phi(x) = 0$ , one can immediately construct four solutions of the Maxwell equation:

$$(-i\partial_0 + \alpha^1 \partial_1 + \alpha^2 \partial_2 + \alpha^3 \partial_3) \Psi^a = 0,$$

where  $\Psi^a$  are columns of the matrix

$$(i\partial_{0} + \alpha^{1}\partial_{1} + \alpha^{2}\partial_{2} + \alpha^{3}\partial_{3}) \Phi(x) =$$

$$= \begin{vmatrix} i\partial_{0}\Phi & \partial_{1}\Phi & \partial_{2}\Phi & \partial_{3}\Phi \\ -\partial_{1}\Phi & i\partial_{0}\Phi & -\partial_{3}\Phi & \partial_{2}\Phi \\ -\partial_{2}\Phi & \partial_{3}\Phi & i\partial_{0}\Phi & -\partial_{1}\Phi \\ -\partial_{3}\Phi & -\partial_{2}\Phi & \partial_{1}\Phi & i\partial_{0}\Phi \end{vmatrix} = \{\Psi^{0}, \Psi^{1}, \Psi^{2}, \Psi^{3}\}.$$

$$(5)$$

Thus, we have four formal solutions of the free Maxwell equations (let  $F_a(x) = \partial_a \Phi(x)$ ):

$$\{\Psi^0, \Psi^1, \Psi^2, \Psi^3\} = \begin{vmatrix} iF_0 & F_1 & F_2 & F_3 \\ -F_1 & iF_0 & -F_3 & F_2 \\ -F_2 & F_3 & iF_0 & -F_1 \\ -F_3 & -F_2 & F_1 & iF_0 \end{vmatrix} .$$
 (6)

## 4 Electromagnetic plane waves from scalar ones

Let us specify this method for an elementary example, starting from a scalar plane wave propagating along the axis z:

$$\Phi = A\sin(\omega t - kz) = A\sin(k_0 x_0 - k_3 x_3) = A\cos\varphi. \tag{7}$$

The recipe (5) gives

$$\{\Psi^a\} = A \begin{vmatrix} ik_0 & 0 & 0 & -k_3 \\ 0 & ik_0 & k_3 & 0 \\ 0 & -k_3 & ik_0 & 0 \\ k_3 & 0 & 0 & ik_0 \end{vmatrix} \cos \varphi .$$
 (8)

Because the left and the right columns have non-vanishing zero-component, they cannot represent any real solutions of the Maxwell equations. However, two remaining seem to be suitable ones (the factor  $A\cos\varphi$  is omitted):

$$\Psi^{I} = \begin{vmatrix} 0 \\ ik_{0} \\ -k_{3} \\ 0 \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} 0 \\ E^{1} + icB^{1} \\ E^{2} + icB^{2} \\ E^{3} + ciB^{3} \end{vmatrix}, \qquad \Psi^{II} = A \begin{vmatrix} 0 \\ k_{3} \\ ik_{0} \\ 0 \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} 0 \\ E^{1} + icB^{1} \\ E^{2} + icB^{2} \\ E^{3} + ciB^{3} \end{vmatrix}.$$

Thus, we have two wave solutions:

$$I E^2 = -k_3 A \cos \varphi , B^1 = \frac{k_0}{c} A \cos \varphi ,$$

$$II E^1 = k_3 A \cos \varphi , B^2 = \frac{k_0}{c} A \cos \varphi . (9)$$

In both cases, the ratio E/B equals to the speed of light:

$$\frac{E}{B} = \frac{k_3}{k_0/c} = c \ .$$

Besides, both waves have are clockwise polarized:

$$I (\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}) = +\mathbf{e}_3 \frac{k_3 k_0}{c} A^2 \cos^2 \varphi ,$$

$$II (\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}) = +\mathbf{e}_3 \frac{k_3 k_0}{c} A^2 \cos^2 \varphi . (10)$$

Two waves are linearly independent and orthogonal to each other:

$$\mathbf{E}^I \mathbf{E}^{II} = 0 , \qquad \mathbf{B}^I \mathbf{B}^{II} = 0 .$$

In the same manner we can solve a more general problem of constructing plane wave solutions with arbitrary wave vector  $\mathbf{k}$ . Let us start with a scalar wave

$$\Phi = A \sin(k_0 x_0 - k_i x_i) = A \cos \varphi . \tag{11}$$

The matrix of solutions (5) will take the form

$$\{\Psi^{0}, \Psi^{1}, \Psi^{2}, \Psi^{3}\} = A \begin{vmatrix} ik_{0} & -k_{1} & -k_{2} & -k_{3} \\ k_{1} & ik_{0} & +k_{3} & -k_{2} \\ k_{2} & -k_{3} & ik_{0} & +k_{1} \\ k_{3} & +k_{2} & -k_{1} & ik_{0} \end{vmatrix} \cos \varphi .$$
 (12)

We have four formal solutions  $\Psi^a$ , but they cannot be regarded as physical because each of them has a non-vanishing zero-component. However, we can use linearity of the Maxwell equation and combine elementary columns in (12) with any coefficients. In this way we are able to construct physical solutions. For shortness let us omit the factor  $A \sin \varphi$  and operate only with the columns of the matrix

$$\{\Psi^0, \Psi^1, \Psi^2, \Psi^3\} = \begin{vmatrix} ik_0 & -k_1 & -k_2 & -k_3 \\ k_1 & ik_0 & +k_3 & -k_2 \\ k_2 & -k_3 & ik_0 & +k_1 \\ k_3 & +k_2 & -k_1 & ik_0 \end{vmatrix}.$$

Taking into account properties of the wave vector

$$k_0^2 - \mathbf{k}^2 = 0 \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad \mathbf{k} = k_0 \mathbf{n} \;,\; \mathbf{n}^2 = 1 \;,$$
 (13)

previous matrix can be rewritten as follows (the common factor  $k_0$  is omitted)

$$\{\Psi^{0}, \Psi^{1}, \Psi^{2}, \Psi^{3}\} = k_{0} \begin{vmatrix} i & -n_{1} & -n_{2} & -n_{3} \\ n_{1} & i & +n_{3} & -n_{2} \\ n_{2} & -n_{3} & i & +n_{1} \\ n_{3} & +n_{2} & -n_{1} & i \end{vmatrix} \sim \begin{vmatrix} i & -n_{1} & -n_{2} & -n_{3} \\ n_{1} & i & +n_{3} & -n_{2} \\ n_{2} & -n_{3} & i & +n_{1} \\ n_{3} & +n_{2} & -n_{1} & i \end{vmatrix}.$$

First, with the help of the column (0) let us produce a zero at the first component of the columns (1) - (2) - (3):

$$\{\Psi^0, \Psi^1, \Psi^2, \Psi^3\} \sim \begin{vmatrix} i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ n_1 & -in_1n_1 + i & -in_2n_1 + n_3 & -in_3n_1 - n_2 \\ n_2 & -in_1n_2 - n_3 & -in_2n_2 + i & -in_3n_2 + n_1 \\ n_3 & -in_1n_3 + n_2 & -in_2n_3 - n_1 & -in_3n_3 + i \end{vmatrix}.$$

Noting that the column (3) is a linear combination of the columns (1) and (2):

$$i n_2 (1) - i n_1 (2) = (3) ;$$

in other words, solution (3) is a linear combination of (1) and (2). Therefore from the above it follows (multiplying the columns (1) and (2) by imaginary i):

$$\{\Psi^{0}, \Psi^{1}, \Psi^{2}, \Psi^{3}\} \sim \begin{vmatrix} i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ n_{1} & n_{1}^{2} - 1 & n_{2}n_{1} + in_{3} & 0 \\ n_{2} & n_{1}n_{2} - in_{3} & n_{2}n_{2} - 1 & 0 \\ n_{3} & n_{1}n_{3} + in_{2} & n_{2}n_{3} - in_{1} & 0 \end{vmatrix} .$$

$$(14)$$

Thus, two physical Maxwell solutions are

$$\Psi^{I} = \begin{vmatrix}
0 \\
n_{1}^{2} - 1 \\
n_{1}n_{2} - in_{3} \\
n_{1}n_{3} + in_{2}
\end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix}
0 \\
E^{1} + icB^{1} \\
E^{2} + icB^{2} \\
E^{3} + ciB^{3}
\end{vmatrix}, \qquad \Psi^{II} = \begin{vmatrix}
0 \\
n_{2}n_{1} + in_{3} \\
n_{2}n_{2} - 1 \\
n_{2}n_{3} - in_{1}
\end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix}
0 \\
E^{1} + icB^{1} \\
E^{2} + icB^{2} \\
E^{3} + ciB^{3}
\end{vmatrix}, \qquad (15)$$

or differently (the factor  $A \cos \varphi$  is omitted)

$$I \mathbf{E} = (n_1^2 - 1, n_1 n_2, n_1 n_3) , c\mathbf{B} = (0, -n_3, n_2) ;$$

$$II \mathbf{E} = (n_2 n_1, n_2 n_2 - 1, n_2 n_3) , c\mathbf{B} = (n_3, 0, -n_1) . (16)$$

It is the matter of simple calculation to verify the identity for amplitudes: cB = E. Also, both these waves are clockwise polarized:

$$\mathbf{E}^{I} \times \mathbf{B}^{I} = \begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{e}_{1} & \mathbf{e}_{2} & \mathbf{e}_{3} \\ n_{1}^{2} - 1 & n_{1}n_{2} & n_{1}n_{3} \\ 0 & -n_{3} & n_{2} \end{vmatrix} = (1 - n_{1}^{2}) (n_{1}\mathbf{e}_{1} + n_{2}\mathbf{e}_{2} + n_{3}\mathbf{e}_{1}) \sim \mathbf{k} ,$$

$$\mathbf{E}^{II} \times \mathbf{B}^{II} = \begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{e}_{1} & \mathbf{e}_{2} & \mathbf{e}_{3} \\ n_{2}n_{1} & n_{2}n_{2} - 1 & n_{2}n_{3} \\ n_{3} & 0 & -n_{1} \end{vmatrix} = (1 - n_{2}^{2}) (n_{1}\mathbf{e}_{1} + n_{2}\mathbf{e}_{2} + n_{3}\mathbf{e}_{1}) \sim \mathbf{k} . \tag{17}$$

Besides, they are independent solutions (but not orthogonal ones)

$$\mathbf{E}^{I}\mathbf{E}^{II} = -n_{1}n_{2} , \qquad \mathbf{B}^{I}\mathbf{B}^{II} = -n_{1}n_{2} .$$
 (18)

#### 5 Dual symmetry of Maxwell equations

Let us consider the known dual symmetry in matrix formalism:

$$(-i\partial_0 + \alpha^j \partial_j) \mid \mathbf{E} + ic\mathbf{B} \mid = 0.$$

It is evident that there exists a simple transform, multiplication by imaginary i, with the following properties:

$$\Psi^{D} = i \Psi , \qquad \Psi = -i \Psi^{D} , \qquad (-i\partial_{0} + \alpha^{j}\partial_{j}) \Psi^{D} = 0 , 
\begin{vmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbf{E}^{D} + ic\mathbf{B}^{D} \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} 0 \\ i\mathbf{E} - c\mathbf{B} \end{vmatrix} , \qquad \mathbf{E}^{D} = -c\mathbf{B} , \qquad c\mathbf{B}^{D} = +\mathbf{E} .$$
(19)

It is the dual transformation of the electromagnetic field. Several points should be clarified. First, this transformation is not a symmetry operation in presence of external sources. In this case we have

$$(-i\partial_0 + \alpha^j \partial_j) \mid \mathbf{E} + ic\mathbf{B} \mid = \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} \mid \frac{\rho}{i\mathbf{j}} \mid$$
 (20)

and further

$$\Psi^{D} = i \Psi , \qquad \Psi = -i \Psi^{D} , \qquad (-i\partial_{0} + \alpha^{j}\partial_{j}) \Psi^{D} = \frac{1}{\epsilon_{0}} \begin{vmatrix} i\rho \\ -\mathbf{j} \end{vmatrix}, 
\begin{vmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbf{E}^{D} + ic\mathbf{B}^{D} \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} 0 \\ i\mathbf{E} - c\mathbf{B} \end{vmatrix} , \qquad \mathbf{E}^{D} = -c\mathbf{B} , \qquad c\mathbf{B}^{D} = +\mathbf{E} .$$
(21)

Second, to save the situation one can extend the Maxwell equations by introducing magnetic sources:

$$(-i\partial_0 + \alpha^j \partial_j) \mid \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \mathbf{E} + ic\mathbf{B} \end{array} \mid = \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} \mid \begin{array}{c} \rho_e + i\rho_m \\ i\mathbf{j}_e + \mathbf{j}_m \end{array} \mid , \tag{22}$$

which permits us to consider the dual transformation as a symmetry:

$$\Psi^{D} = i \Psi , \qquad \Psi = -i \Psi^{D} , \qquad (-i\partial_{0} + \alpha^{j}\partial_{j}) \Psi^{D} = \frac{1}{\epsilon_{0}} \begin{vmatrix} -\rho_{m} + i\rho_{e} \\ i\mathbf{j}_{m} - \mathbf{j}_{e} \end{vmatrix}, 
\begin{vmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbf{E}^{D} + ic\mathbf{B}^{D} \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} 0 \\ i\mathbf{E} - c\mathbf{B} \end{vmatrix}, \qquad \mathbf{E}^{D} = -c\mathbf{B} , \qquad c\mathbf{B}^{D} = +\mathbf{E} , 
\rho_{e}^{D} = -\rho_{m}, \qquad \mathbf{j}_{e}^{D} = +\mathbf{j}_{m} , \qquad \rho_{m}^{D} = +\rho_{e} , \qquad \mathbf{j}_{m}^{D} = -\mathbf{j}_{e} .$$
(23)

In real form eqs. (23) will look

$$\partial_0 \frac{\Psi - \Psi^*}{2i} + \alpha^j \partial_j \frac{\Psi + \Psi^*}{2} = \frac{J + J^*}{2} ,$$

$$\partial_0 \frac{\Psi + \Psi^*}{2} + \alpha^j \partial_j \frac{\Psi - \Psi^*}{2i} = \frac{J - J^*}{2i} ;$$

$$Re(J) = \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} \begin{vmatrix} \rho_e \\ \mathbf{j}_m \end{vmatrix} , \qquad Im(J) = \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} \begin{vmatrix} \rho_m \\ \mathbf{j}_e \end{vmatrix} ,$$

that is

$$\partial_0 B + \alpha^j \partial_j E = \text{Re}(J), \qquad \partial_0 E + \alpha^j \partial_j B = \text{Im}(J).$$
 (24)

Eqs. (24) in vector notation read

$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{E} = \frac{\rho_e}{\epsilon_0}, \quad \operatorname{rot} \mathbf{E} = +\frac{\mathbf{j}_m}{\epsilon_0} - \frac{\partial c\mathbf{B}}{\partial ct},$$

$$\operatorname{div} c\mathbf{B} = \frac{\rho_m}{\epsilon_0}, \quad \operatorname{rot} c\mathbf{B} = \frac{\mathbf{j}_e}{\epsilon_0} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial ct}.$$
(25)

Let us turn again to the Maxwell equation without sources and examine the action of this transformation on a plane electromagnetic wave along the axis z (see (9)):

$$I E^2 = -k_3 A \cos(k_0 x_0 - k_3 x_3), B^1 = \frac{k_0}{c} A \cos(k_0 x_0 - k_3 x_3).$$

After the dual transformation it becomes

$$E_D^1 = -k_0 A \cos(k_0 x_0 - k_3 x_3)$$
,  $cB_D^2 = -k_3 A \cos(k_0 x_0 - k_3 x_3)$ ,

It should be noted that the dual solution coincides with the wave of the type II according to (9):

II 
$$E^1 = k_3 A \cos(k_0 x_0 - k_3 x_3)$$
,  $B^2 = \frac{k_0}{c} A \cos(k_0 x_0 - k_3 x_3)$ .

In other words, the dual symmetry provides us with the possibility to construct a new linearly independent solution on the base of the known one.

One addition should be made: the well-known continuous dual symmetry looks as a phase transformation over complex variables:

$$e^{i\chi} \left( \mathbf{E} + ic \, \mathbf{B} \right), \qquad e^{-i\chi} \left( i \, \mathbf{j}_e + \mathbf{j}_m \right), \qquad e^{-i\chi} \left( \rho_e + i \, \rho_m \right).$$
 (26)

# 6 On separating physical solutions of the Maxwell equations (real-valued scalar function $\Phi$ )

Let us consider four formal solutions of the Maxwell equations

$$\{\Psi^{0}, \Psi^{1}, \Psi^{2}, \Psi^{3}\} = \begin{vmatrix} iF_{0} & F_{1} & F_{2} & F_{3} \\ -F_{1} & iF_{0} & -F_{3} & F_{2} \\ -F_{2} & F_{3} & iF_{0} & -F_{1} \\ -F_{3} & -F_{2} & F_{1} & iF_{0} \end{vmatrix}, \qquad F_{a}(x) = \partial_{a}\Phi(x) . \tag{27}$$

Physical solutions should be associated with the following structure:

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|} & 0 \\ \mathbf{E} + ic\mathbf{B} \end{array}$$

when zero-component of the  $4 \times 1$  column vanishes.

Let the function  $\Phi(x)$  be taken as real-valued. We should find all possible solutions to the following equation:

$$\lambda_0 \Psi^0 + \lambda_1 \Psi^1 + \lambda_2 \Psi^2 + \lambda_3 \Psi^3 = \begin{vmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbf{E} + ic\mathbf{B} \end{vmatrix}.$$
 (28)

Let us separate real and imaginary parts in  $\lambda_c$ :  $\lambda_c = a_c + ib_c$ . Relation (28) takes the form

$$(a_0 + ib_0)iF_0 + (a_1 + ib_1)F_1 + (a_2 + ib_2)F_2 + (a_3 + ib_3)F_3 = 0,$$

$$-(a_0 + ib_0)F_1 + (a_1 + ib_1)iF_0 - (a_2 + ib_2)F_3 + (a_3 + ib_3)F_2 = E_1 + icB_1,$$

$$-(a_0 + ib_0)F_2 + (a_1 + ib_1)F_3 + (a_2 + ib_2)iF_0 - (a_3 + ib_3)F_1 = E_2 + icB_2,$$

$$-(a_0 + ib_0)F_3 - (a_1 + ib_1)F_2 + (a_2 + ib_2)F_1 + (a_3 + ib_3)iF_0 = E_3 + icB_3,$$

from whence it follows

$$-b_{0}F_{0} + a_{1}F_{1} + a_{2}F_{2} + a_{3}F_{3} = 0 , a_{0}F_{0} + b_{1}F_{1} + b_{2}F_{2} + b_{3}F_{3} = 0 , 
-a_{0}F_{1} - b_{1}F_{0} - a_{2}F_{3} + a_{3}F_{2} = E_{1} , -b_{0}F_{1} + a_{1}F_{0} - b_{2}F_{3} + b_{3}F_{2} = cB_{1} , 
-a_{0}F_{2} + a_{1}F_{3} - b_{2}F_{0} - a_{3}F_{1} = E_{2} , -b_{0}F_{2} + b_{1}F_{3} + a_{2}F_{0} - b_{3}F_{1} = cB_{2} , 
-a_{0}F_{3} - a_{1}F_{2} + a_{2}F_{1} - b_{3}F_{0} = E_{3} , -b_{0}F_{3} - b_{1}F_{2} + b_{2}F_{1} + a_{3}F_{0} = cB_{3} . (29)$$

Let us consider equation rot  $\mathbf{E} = -\partial_0 c\mathbf{B}$ . Taking two identities

$$\begin{split} \partial_1 E_2 - \partial_2 E_1 &= \partial_1 [-a_0 F_2 + a_1 F_3 - b_2 F_0 - a_3 F_1] \ - \\ - \partial_2 [-a_0 F_1 - b_1 F_0 - a_2 F_3 + a_3 F_2] &= \\ &= a_1 \partial_1 F_3 - b_2 \partial_1 F_0 - a_3 \partial_1 F_1 + b_1 \partial_2 F_0 + a_2 \partial_2 F_3 - a_3 \partial_2 F_2 \ , \\ - \partial_0 c B_3 &= b_0 \partial_0 F_3 + b_1 \partial_0 F_2 - b_2 \partial_0 F_1 - a_3 \partial_0 F_0 \ ; \end{split}$$

we produce an equation

$$a_1\partial_1 F_3 - b_2\partial_1 F_0 - a_3\partial_1 F_1 + b_1\partial_2 F_0 + a_2\partial_2 F_3 - a_3\partial_2 F_2 = b_0\partial_0 F_3 + b_1\partial_0 F_2 - b_2\partial_0 F_1 - a_3\partial_0 F_0,$$

from whence substituting identity  $\partial_0 F_0 = \partial_1 F_1 + \partial_2 F_2 + \partial_3 F_3$ , we obtain

$$a_1\partial_1 F_3 + a_2\partial_2 F_3 = b_0\partial_0 F_3 - a_3\partial_3 F_3$$
;

that is

$$[b_0\partial_0 - (a_1\partial_1 + a_2\partial_2 + a_3\partial_3)]F_3 = 0.$$
(30)

In the same manner we get

$$[b_0\partial_0 - (a_1\partial_1 + a_2\partial_2 + a_3\partial_3)] F_1 = 0,$$
  

$$[b_0\partial_0 - (a_1\partial_1 + a_2\partial_2 + a_3\partial_3)] F_2 = 0.$$
(31)

Now consider equation rot  $c\mathbf{B} = \partial_0 \mathbf{E}$ . Calculating two rerms

$$\partial_{1}cB_{2} - \partial_{2}cB_{1} =$$

$$= b_{1}\partial_{1}F_{3} + a_{2}\partial_{1}F_{0} - b_{3}\partial_{1}F_{1} - a_{1}\partial_{2}F_{0} + b_{2}\partial_{2}F_{3} - b_{3}\partial_{2}F_{2} ,$$

$$\partial_{0}E_{3} = -a_{0}\partial_{0}F_{3} - a_{1}\partial_{0}F_{2} + a_{2}\partial_{0}F_{1} - b_{3}\partial_{0}F_{0} =$$

$$= -a_{0}\partial_{0}F_{3} - a_{1}\partial_{0}F_{2} + a_{2}\partial_{0}F_{1} - b_{3}\partial_{1}F_{1} - b_{3}\partial_{2}F_{2} - b_{3}\partial_{3}F_{3} ,$$

we arrive at

$$b_1 \partial_1 F_3 + a_2 \partial_1 F_0 - b_3 \partial_1 F_1 - a_1 \partial_2 F_0 + b_2 \partial_2 F_3 - b_3 \partial_2 F_2 =$$

$$= -a_0 \partial_0 F_3 - a_1 \partial_0 F_2 + a_2 \partial_0 F_1 - b_3 \partial_1 F_1 - b_3 \partial_2 F_2 - b_3 \partial_3 F_3,$$

or

$$[a_0\partial_0 + (b_1\partial_1 + b_2\partial_2 + b_3\partial_3)]F_3 = 0.$$
 (32)

Analogously, we get

$$[ a_0 \partial_0 + (b_1 \partial_1 + b_2 \partial_2 + b_3 \partial_3) ] F_1 = 0 ,$$
  

$$[ a_0 \partial_0 + (b_1 \partial_1 + b_2 \partial_2 + b_3 \partial_3) ] F_2 = 0 .$$
(33)

Now let us consider equation div  $\mathbf{E} = 0$ :

$$0 = \partial_1 E_1 + \partial_2 E_2 + \partial_3 E_3 = -a_0 \partial_1 F_1 - b_1 \partial_1 F_0 - a_2 \partial_1 F_3 + a_3 \partial_1 F_2 - a_0 \partial_2 F_2 + a_1 \partial_2 F_3 - b_2 \partial_2 F_0 - a_3 \partial_2 F_1 - a_0 \partial_3 F_3 - a_1 \partial_3 F_2 + a_2 \partial_3 F_1 - b_3 \partial_3 F_0 ,$$

that is

$$-a_0(\partial_1 F_1 + \partial_2 F_2 + \partial_3 F_3) - (b_1 \partial_1 + b_2 \partial_2 + b_3 \partial_3) F_0 = 0,$$
(34)

which is equivalent to

$$[a_0\partial_0 + (b_1\partial_1 + b_2\partial_2 + b_3\partial_3)] F_0 = 0.$$
(35)

It remains to consider equation div  $\mathbf{B} = 0$ :

$$0 = -b_0 \partial_1 F_1 + a_1 \partial_1 F_0 - b_2 \partial_1 F_3 + b_3 \partial_1 F_2 - b_0 \partial_2 F_2 + b_1 \partial_2 F_3 + a_2 \partial_2 F_0 - b_3 \partial_2 F_1 - b_0 \partial_3 F_3 - b_1 \partial_3 F_2 + b_2 \partial_3 F_1 + a_3 \partial_3 F_0,$$

or

$$-b_0(\partial_1 F_1 + \partial_2 F_2 + \partial_3 F_3) + (a_1 \partial_1 + a_2 \partial_2 + a_3 \partial_3) F_0 = 0,$$

which is equivalent to

$$[b_0\partial_0 - (a_1\partial_1 + a_2\partial_2 + a_3\partial_3)] F_0 = 0.$$
(36)

Thus, to construct physical solutions of the Maxwell equations as linear combinations from non-physical ones (see (28))

$$(a_0 + ib_0)\Psi^0 + (a_1 + ib_1)\Psi^1 + (a_2 + ib_2)\Psi^2 + (a_3 + ib_3)\Psi^3 = \begin{vmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbf{E} + ic\mathbf{B} \end{vmatrix}$$
(37)

one must satisfy the following 8 equations:

$$[b_0\partial_0 - (a_1\partial_1 + a_2\partial_2 + a_3\partial_3)] F_c = 0,$$

$$[a_0\partial_0 + (b_1\partial_1 + b_2\partial_2 + b_3\partial_3)] F_c = 0;$$
(38)

where c = 0, 1, 2, 3;  $F_c = \partial_c \Phi$ .

# 7 On separating physical solutions of the Maxwell equations (complex-valued scalar function $\Phi$ )

Let us consider four formal solutions of the Maxwell equations

$$\{\Psi^{0}, \Psi^{1}, \Psi^{2}, \Psi^{3}\} = \begin{vmatrix} iF_{0} & F_{1} & F_{2} & F_{3} \\ -F_{1} & iF_{0} & -F_{3} & F_{2} \\ -F_{2} & F_{3} & iF_{0} & -F_{1} \\ -F_{3} & -F_{2} & F_{1} & iF_{0} \end{vmatrix}, \qquad F_{a}(x) = \partial_{a}\Phi(x) . \tag{39}$$

Let the function  $\Phi(x)$  be taken as complex-valued. We should examine relationship for  $\lambda_a$  defining all possible solutions to the following equation:

$$\lambda_0 \Psi^0 + \lambda_1 \Psi^1 + \lambda_2 \Psi^2 + \lambda_3 \Psi^3 = \begin{vmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbf{E} + ic\mathbf{B} \end{vmatrix}. \tag{40}$$

Let us separate real and imaginary parts in  $\lambda_c$  and  $\Phi(x)$  and  $F_c(x)$ :

$$\lambda_c = a_c + i b_c$$
,  $\Phi(x) = L(x) + i K(x)$ ,  $F_c(x) = L_c(x) + i K_c(x)$ .

Relation (40) takes the form

$$(a_0 + ib_0)i(L_0 + iK_0) + (a_1 + ib_1)(L_1 + iK_1) +$$

$$+(a_2 + ib_2)(L_2 + iK_2) + (a_3 + ib_3)(L_3 + iK_3) = 0,$$

$$-(a_0 + ib_0)(L_1 + iK_1) + (a_1 + ib_1)i(L_0 + iK_0) -$$

$$-(a_2 + ib_2)(L_3 + iK_3) + (a_3 + ib_3)(L_2 + iK_2) = E_1 + icB_1,$$

$$-(a_0 + ib_0)(L_2 + iK_2) + (a_1 + ib_1)(L_3 + iK_3) +$$

$$+(a_2 + ib_2)i(L_0 + iK_0) - (a_3 + ib_3)(L_1 + iK_1) = E_2 + icB_2,$$

$$-(a_0 + ib_0)(L_3 + iK_3) - (a_1 + ib_1)(L_2 + iK_2) +$$

$$+(a_2 + ib_2)(L_1 + iK_1) + (a_3 + ib_3)i(L_0 + iK_0) = E_3 + icB_3,$$

from whence it follows

$$0 = (-b_0L_0 + a_1L_1 + a_2L_2 + a_3L_3) + (-a_0K_0 - b_1K_1 - b_2K_2 - b_3K_3),$$

$$0 = (+a_0L_0 + b_1L_1 + b_2L_2 + b_3L_3) + (-b_0K_0 + a_1K_1 + a_2K_2 + a_3K_3),$$

$$E_1 = (-a_0L_1 - b_1L_0 - a_2L_3 + a_3L_2) + (+b_0K_1 - a_1K_0 + b_2K_3 - b_3K_2),$$

$$B_1 = (-b_0L_1 + a_1L_0 - b_2L_3 + b_3L_2) + (-a_0K_1 - b_1K_0 - a_2K_3 + a_3K_2),$$

$$E_2 = (-a_0L_2 - b_2L_0 + a_1L_3 - a_3L_1) + (+b_0K_2 - a_2K_0 - b_1K_3 + b_3K_1),$$

$$B_2 = (-b_0L_2 + a_2L_0 + b_1L_3 - b_3L_1) + (-a_0K_2 - b_2K_0 + a_1K_3 - a_3K_1),$$

$$E_3 = (-a_0L_3 - b_3L_0 - a_1L_2 + a_2L_1) + (+b_0K_3 - a_3K_0 + b_1K_2 - b_2K_1),$$

$$B_3 = (-b_0L_3 + a_3L_0 - b_1L_2 + b_2L_1) + (-a_0K_3 - b_3K_0 - a_1K_2 + a_2K_1),$$

$$(41)$$

Substituting these expression into Maxwell equations and performing calculation like in previous section we get:

$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{E} = 0 \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad -(a_0 \partial_0 + b_j \partial_j) \ L_0 + (b_0 \partial_0 - a_j \partial_j) \ K_0 = 0 \ ,$$

$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{B} = 0 \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad +(b_0 \partial_0 - a_j \partial_j) \ L_0 + (a_0 \partial_0 + b_j \partial_j) \ K_0 = 0 \ ,$$

$$\partial_2 B_3 - \partial_3 B_2 = +\partial_0 E_1 \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad -(a_0 \partial_0 + b_j \partial_j) \ L_1 + (b_0 \partial_0 - a_j \partial_j) \ K_1 = 0 \ ,$$

$$\partial_2 E_3 - \partial_3 E_2 = -\partial_0 B_1 \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad +(b_0 \partial_0 - a_j \partial_j) \ L_1 + (a_0 \partial_0 + b_j \partial_j) \ K_1 = 0 \ ,$$

$$\partial_3 B_1 - \partial_1 B_3 = +\partial_0 E_2 \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad -(a_0 \partial_0 + b_j \partial_j) \ L_2 + (b_0 \partial_0 - a_j \partial_j) \ K_2 = 0 \ ,$$

$$\partial_3 E_1 - \partial_1 E_3 = -\partial_0 B_2 \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad +(b_0 \partial_0 - a_j \partial_j) \ L_2 + (a_0 \partial_0 + b_j \partial_j) \ K_2 = 0 \ ,$$

$$\partial_1 B_2 - \partial_2 B_1 = +\partial_0 E_3 \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad -(a_0 \partial_0 + b_j \partial_j) \ L_3 + (b_0 \partial_0 - a_j \partial_j) \ K_3 = 0 \ ,$$

$$\partial_1 E_2 - \partial_2 E_1 = -\partial_0 B_3 \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad +(b_0 \partial_0 - a_j \partial_j) \ L_3 + (a_0 \partial_0 + b_j \partial_j) \ K_3 = 0 \ ,$$

$$(42)$$

Thus, for physical Maxwell solutions the following equations must hold:

$$-(a_0\partial_0 + b_j\partial_j) L_c + (b_0\partial_0 - a_j\partial_j) K_c = 0; +(b_0\partial_0 - a_j\partial_j) L_c + (a_0\partial_0 + b_j\partial_j) K_c = 0.$$
(43)

In particular, we have two more simple equations when taking real or imaginary scalar functions:

$$\Phi = L + i 0,$$

$$a_c, b_c \iff (a_0 \partial_0 + b_j \partial_j) L_c = 0, (b_0 \partial_0 - a_j \partial_j) L_c = 0$$

$$\Phi = 0 + i K$$

$$a'_c, b'_c \iff (a'_0 \partial_0 + b'_j \partial_j) K_c = 0, (b'_0 \partial_0 - a'_j \partial_j) K_c = 0.$$
(44)

In the simplest case of a plane scalar wave

$$\Phi = e^{i(k_0 x^0 - k^j x^j)} = \cos \varphi + i \sin \varphi ,$$

$$\varphi = k_0 x^0 - k^j x^j = k_c x^c ,$$

$$L_c + iK_c = -k_c \sin \varphi + i k_c \cos \varphi$$
(45)

previous equations take the form

$$\Phi = L + i 0 ,$$

$$a_c, b_c \iff (a_0 k_0 + b_j k_j) k_c = 0 , (b_0 k_0 - a_j k_j) k_c = 0$$

$$\Phi = 0 + i K$$

$$a'_c, b'_c \iff (a'_0 k_0 + b'_j k_j) k_c = 0 , (b'_0 k_0 - a'_j k_j) k_c = 0 .$$
(46)

that is

$$a'_{c} = a_{c} , b'_{c} = b_{c} ,$$

$$(a_{0} k_{0} + b_{i} k_{i}) k_{c} = 0 , (b_{0} k_{0} - a_{i} k_{i}) k_{c} = 0 (47)$$

In general case (43) equations for  $a_c, b_c$  and  $a'_c, b'_c$  may not coincide. Let us turn again to eqs. (44) and translate them to variables

$$L_c = \frac{F_c^* + F_c}{2}$$
,  $K_c = +i \frac{F_c^* - F_c}{2}$ ,

$$-(a_0\partial_0 + b_j\partial_j) \frac{F_c^* + F_c}{2} + (b_0\partial_0 - a_j\partial_j) i \frac{F_c^* - F_c}{2} = 0 ;$$
  
+(b\_0\partial\_0 - a\_j\partial\_j) \frac{F\_c^\* + F\_c}{2} + (a\_0\partial\_0 + b\_j\partial\_j) i \frac{F\_c^\* - F\_c}{2} = 0 .

or

$$\frac{1}{2} \left[ -(a_0 \partial_0 + b_j \partial_j) - i \left( b_0 \partial_0 - a_j \partial_j \right) \right] F_c + \frac{1}{2} \left[ -(a_0 \partial_0 + b_j \partial_j) + i \left( b_0 \partial_0 - a_j \partial_j \right) \right] F_c^* = 0$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \left[ +(b_0 \partial_0 - a_j \partial_j) - i \left( a_0 \partial_0 + b_j \partial_j \right) \right] F_c + \frac{1}{2} \left[ +(b_0 \partial_0 - a_j \partial_j) + i \left( a_0 \partial_0 + b_j \partial_j \right) \right] F_c^* = 0;$$
or

$$\frac{1}{2} \left[ -(a_0\partial_0 + b_j\partial_j) - i \left( b_0\partial_0 - a_j\partial_j \right) \right] F_c + \frac{1}{2} \left[ -(a_0\partial_0 + b_j\partial_j) + i \left( b_0\partial_0 - a_j\partial_j \right) \right] F_c^* = 0$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \left[ +i \left( b_0\partial_0 - a_j\partial_j \right) + (a_0\partial_0 + b_j\partial_j) \right] F_c + \frac{1}{2} \left[ +i \left( b_0\partial_0 - a_j\partial_j \right) - (a_0\partial_0 + b_j\partial_j) \right] F_c^* = 0 ;$$

Summing and subtracting two relations, we arrive at

$$[-(a_0\partial_0 + b_j\partial_j) + i (b_0\partial_0 - a_j\partial_j)] F_c^* = 0$$
  

$$[-(a_0\partial_0 + b_j\partial_j) - i (b_0\partial_0 - a_j\partial_j)] F_c = 0$$
(48)

They can be rewritten as follows:

$$[-(a_0 + ib_0) \partial_0 + i(a_j + ib_j) \partial_j] F_c = 0,$$
  
$$[-(a_0 - ib_0) \partial_0 - i(a_j - ib_j) \partial_j] F_c^* = 0,$$

or shorter

$$[-\lambda_0 \partial_0 + i \lambda_j \partial_j] F_c = 0,$$
  

$$[-\lambda_0 \partial_0^* - i \lambda_j^* \partial_j] F_c^* = 0,$$
(49)

## 8 Separating physical solutions of the plane wave type

Let us apply the general relations (38) to the case when

$$\Phi=A\,\sin\varphi\;,\qquad \varphi=k_0x_0-k_3x_3\;,$$
 
$$F_0=k_0A\cos\varphi\;,\;\;F_1=0\;,\;\;F_2=0\;,\;F_3=-k_3A\cos\varphi\;.$$

Eqs. (38) then give

$$b_0 k_0 + a_3 k_3 = 0$$
,  $a_0 k_0 - b_3 k_3 = 0$ . (50)

For a wave spreading in the positive direction  $k_3 = +k_0 > 0$ , and eqs. (50) give

$$b_0 = -a_3$$
,  $b_3 = a_0$ ,

and correspondingly relationship (37) looks

$$(a_0 - ia_3)\Psi^0 + (a_3 + ia_0)\Psi^3 + (a_1 + ib_1)\Psi^1 + (a_2 + ib_2)\Psi^2 = \begin{vmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbf{E} + ic\mathbf{B} \end{vmatrix};$$
 (51)

coefficients at  $\Psi^1$  and  $\Psi^2$  are arbitrary. To understand this fact let us recall the explicit form of  $\Psi^a$  – see (8):

$$\{\Psi^0, \Psi^1, \Psi^2, \Psi^3\} = A \begin{vmatrix} ik_0 & 0 & 0 & -k_0 \\ 0 & ik_0 & k_0 & 0 \\ 0 & -k_0 & ik_0 & 0 \\ k_0 & 0 & 0 & ik_0 \end{vmatrix} \cos \varphi .$$

One may separate two subsets of non-physical solutions:

$$(a_0 - ia_3)\Psi^0 + (a_3 + ia_0)\Psi^3 = (a_0 - ia_3)k_0 \left\{ \begin{array}{c|c} i \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ +1 \end{array} \right. + i \left. \begin{array}{c|c} -1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ i \end{array} \right\} \equiv \left[ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \right], \tag{52}$$

so relationship (51) reduces to

$$(a_1 + ib_1)\Psi^1 + (a_2 + ib_2)\Psi^2 = (a_1 + ib_1) \begin{vmatrix} 0 \\ ik_0 \\ -k_3 \\ 0 \end{vmatrix} + (a_2 + ib_2) \begin{vmatrix} 0 \\ k_3 \\ ik_3 \\ 0 \end{vmatrix}.$$
 (53)

Now let us consider a more general case when

$$\Phi(x) = A\sin(k_0x_0 - \mathbf{k}\mathbf{x}) = A\sin\varphi ,$$

$$F_0 = k_0A\cos\varphi , \qquad F_1 = -k_1A\cos\varphi ,$$

$$F_2 = -k_2A\cos\varphi , \qquad F_3 = -k_3A\cos\varphi .$$
(54)

Eqs. (38) give

$$b_0k_0 + a_1k_1 + a_2k_2 + a_3k_3 = 0$$
,  $a_0k_0 - b_1k_1 - b_2k_2 - b_3k_3 = 0$ , (55)

and additionally the identity  $k_0 = +\sqrt{k_1^2 + k_2^2 + k_3^2}$  holds. One may introduce parametrization  $k_j = k_0 n_j$ ,  $n_j n_j = 1$ , then eqs. (55) read

$$b_0 = -(a_1n_1 + a_2n_2 + a_3n_3), a_0 = (b_1n_1 + b_2n_2 + b_3n_3). (56)$$

Now turning to (37) and excluding variables  $a_0, b_0$  one gets

$$[(b_1n_1 + b_2n_2 + b_3n_3) - i(a_1n_1 + a_2n_2 + a_3n_3)]\Psi^0 + (a_1 + ib_1)\Psi^1 + (a_2 + ib_2)\Psi^2 + (a_3 + ib_3)\Psi^3 = \Psi.$$
(57)

Taking into account (see (27)

$$\{\Psi^0, \Psi^1, \Psi^2, \Psi^3\} = \begin{vmatrix} i & -n_1 & -n_2 & -n_3 \\ n_1 & i & n_3 & -n_2 \\ n_2 & -n_3 & i & n_1 \\ n_3 & n_2 & -n_1 & i \end{vmatrix} k_0 A \cos \varphi ,$$

from (57) we get (factor  $k_0 A \cos \varphi$  is omitted)

$$\Psi = \left| \begin{array}{l} [(b_1-ia_1)n_1+(b_2-ia_2)n_2+(b_3-ia_3)n_3] \ i-(a_1+ib_1)n_1-(a_2+ib_2)n_2-(a_3+ib_3)n_3 \\ [(b_1-ia_1)n_1+(b_2-ia_2)n_2+(b_3-ia_3)n_3] \ n_1+(a_1+ib_1)i+(a_2+ib_2)n_3-(a_3+ib_3)n_2 \\ [(b_1-ia_1)n_1+(b_2-ia_2)n_2+(b_3-ia_3)n_3] \ n_2-(a_1+ib_1)n_3+(a_2+ib_2)i+(a_3+ib_3)n_1 \\ [(b_1-ia_1)n_1+(b_2-ia_2)n_2+(b_3-ia_3)n_3] \ n_3+(a_1+ib_1)n_2-(a_2+ib_2)n_1+(a_3+ib_3)i \end{array} \right.$$

and further

$$\Psi = \begin{vmatrix}
0 \\
[(b_1 - ia_1)n_1 + (b_2 - ia_2)n_2 + (b_3 - ia_3)n_3] & n_1 + (a_1 + ib_1)i + (a_2 + ib_2)n_3 - (a_3 + ib_3)n_2 \\
[(b_1 - ia_1)n_1 + (b_2 - ia_2)n_2 + (b_3 - ia_3)n_3] & n_2 - (a_1 + ib_1)n_3 + (a_2 + ib_2)i + (a_3 + ib_3)n_1 \\
[(b_1 - ia_1)n_1 + (b_2 - ia_2)n_2 + (b_3 - ia_3)n_3] & n_3 + (a_1 + ib_1)n_2 - (a_2 + ib_2)n_1 + (a_3 + ib_3)i
\end{vmatrix} .$$
(58)

Let us introduce three elementary solutions, associated with coefficients  $(a_i + ib_i)$ :

$$\Psi_{(1)} = \begin{vmatrix} 0 \\ (b_1 - ia_1) & n_1 n_1 + (a_1 + ib_1)i \\ (b_1 - ia_1) & n_1 n_2 - (a_1 + ib_1)n_3 \\ (b_1 - ia_1) & n_1 n_3 + (a_1 + ib_1)n_2 \end{vmatrix}, \mathbf{E}_{(1)} = \begin{vmatrix} b_1 n_1 n_1 - b_1 \\ b_1 n_1 n_2 - a_1 n_3 \\ b_1 n_1 n_3 + a_1 n_2 \end{vmatrix}, c\mathbf{B}_{(1)} = \begin{vmatrix} -a_1 n_1 n_1 + a_1 \\ -a_1 n_1 n_2 - b_1 n_3 \\ -a_1 n_1 n_3 + b_1 n_2 \end{vmatrix},$$

$$\Psi_{(2)} = \begin{vmatrix} 0 \\ (b_2 - ia_2) & n_2 n_1 + (a_2 + ib_2) n_3 \\ (b_2 - ia_2) & n_2 n_2 + (a_2 + ib_2) i \\ (b_2 - ia_2) & n_2 n_3 - (a_2 + ib_2) n_1 \end{vmatrix}, \mathbf{E}_{(2)} = \begin{vmatrix} b_2 n_2 n_1 + a_2 n_3 \\ b_2 n_2 n_2 - b_2 \\ b_2 n_2 n_3 - a_2 n_1 \end{vmatrix}, c\mathbf{B}_{(2)} = \begin{vmatrix} -a_2 n_2 n_1 + b_2 n_3 \\ -a_2 n_2 n_2 + a_2 \\ -a_2 n_2 n_3 - b_2 n_1 \end{vmatrix},$$

$$\Psi_{(3)} = \begin{vmatrix}
0 \\
(b_3 - ia_3) & n_3 n_1 - (a_3 + ib_3) n_2 \\
(b_3 - ia_3) & n_3 n_2 + (a_3 + ib_3) n_1 \\
(b_3 - ia_3) & n_3 & n_3 + (a_3 + ib_3) i
\end{vmatrix}, \mathbf{E}_{(3)} = \begin{vmatrix}
b_3 n_3 n_1 - a_3 n_2 \\
b_3 n_3 n_2 + a_3 n_1 \\
b_3 n_3 & n_3 - b_3
\end{vmatrix}, c\mathbf{B}_{(3)} = \begin{vmatrix}
-a_3 n_3 n_1 - b_3 n_2 \\
-a_3 n_3 n_2 + b_3 n_1 \\
-a_3 n_3 & n_3 + a_3
\end{vmatrix}.$$
(59)

Let us show that three types of solutions are linearly dependent. It suffices to examine their linear combinations (for definite consider electric field):

$$A_1 \mathbf{E}_{(1)} + A_2 \mathbf{E}_{(2)} + A_3 \mathbf{E}_{(3)} = 0$$
,

that is

$$A_1 \left| \begin{array}{c} b_1 n_1 n_1 - b_1 \\ b_1 n_1 n_2 - a_1 n_3 \\ b_1 n_1 n_3 + a_1 n_2 \end{array} \right| + A_2 \left| \begin{array}{c} b_2 n_2 n_1 + a_2 n_3 \\ b_2 n_2 n_2 - b_2 \\ b_2 n_2 n_3 - a_2 n_1 \end{array} \right| + A_3 \left| \begin{array}{c} b_3 n_3 n_1 - a_3 n_2 \\ b_3 n_3 n_2 + a_3 n_1 \\ b_3 n_3 \ n_3 - b_3 \end{array} \right| = \left| \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \right| \, .$$

It remains to show that the determinant of the  $3 \times 3$  matrix vanishes

$$\det \begin{vmatrix} b_1 n_1 n_1 - b_1 & b_2 n_2 n_1 + a_2 n_3 & b_3 n_3 n_1 - a_3 n_2 \\ b_1 n_1 n_2 - a_1 n_3 & b_2 n_2 n_2 - b_2 & b_3 n_3 n_2 + a_3 n_1 \\ b_1 n_1 n_3 + a_1 n_2 & b_2 n_2 n_3 - a_2 n_1 & b_3 n_3 & n_3 - b_3 \end{vmatrix} = 0.$$
(60)

Let  $b_1n_1 = s_1, b_2n_2 = s_2, b_3n_3 = s_3$  then eq. (60) looks

$$0 = \begin{vmatrix} s_1 n_1 - b_1 & s_2 n_1 + a_2 n_3 & s_3 n_1 - a_3 n_2 \\ s_1 n_2 - a_1 n_3 & s_2 n_2 - b_2 & s_3 n_2 + a_3 n_1 \\ s_1 n_3 + a_1 n_2 & s_2 n_3 - a_2 n_1 & s_3 n_3 - b_3 \end{vmatrix} =$$

$$= (s_1n_1 - b_1)[(s_2n_2 - b_2)(s_3n_3 - b_3) - (s_3n_2 + a_3n_1)(s_2n_3 - a_2n_1)] - (s_1n_2 - a_1n_3)[(s_2n_1 + a_2n_3)(s_3n_3 - b_3) - (s_3n_1 - a_3n_2)(s_2n_3 - a_2n_1)] + (s_1n_3 + a_1n_2)[(s_2n_1 + a_2n_3)(s_3n_2 + a_3n_1) - (s_3n_1 - a_3n_2)(s_2n_2 - b_2)] =$$

$$= (s_1n_1 - b_1)[s_2s_3n_2n_3 - s_2n_2b_3 - s_3n_3b_2 + b_2b_3 - s_2s_3n_2n_3 + s_3n_1n_2a_2 - n_1n_3a_3s_2 + a_2a_3n_1^2] - (s_1n_2 - a_1n_3)[s_2s_3n_1n_3 - s_2n_1b_3 + a_2s_3n_3^2 - a_2n_3b_3 - s_2s_3n_1n_3 + s_3a_2n_1^2 + a_3s_2n_2n_3 - a_3a_2n_1n_2] + (s_1n_3 + a_1n_2)[s_2s_3n_1n_2 + s_2a_3n_1^2 + a_2s_3n_2n_3 + a_2a_3n_1n_3 - s_2s_3n_1n_2 + s_3b_2n_1 + a_3s_2n_2^2 - a_3b_2n_2]$$

$$=-s_1n_1s_2n_2b_3-s_1n_1s_3n_3b_2+s_1n_1b_2b_3+s_1n_1s_3n_1n_2a_2-s_1n_1n_1n_3a_3s_2+s_1n_1a_2a_3n_1^2-\\+b_1s_2n_2b_3+b_1s_3n_3b_2-b_1b_2b_3-b_1s_3n_1n_2a_2+b_1n_1n_3a_3s_2-b_1a_2a_3n_1^2+\\+s_1n_2s_2n_1b_3-s_1n_2a_2s_3n_3^2+s_1n_2a_2n_3b_3+s_1n_2s_3a_2n_1^2-s_1n_2a_3s_2n_2n_3+s_1n_2a_3a_2n_1n_2-\\-a_1n_3s_2n_1b_3+a_1n_3a_2s_3n_3^2-a_1n_3a_2n_3b_3+a_1n_3s_3a_2n_1^2+a_1n_3a_3s_2n_2n_3-a_1n_3a_3a_2n_1n_2+\\+s_1n_3s_2a_3n_1^2+s_1n_3a_2s_3n_2n_3+s_1n_3a_2a_3n_1n_3+s_1n_3s_3b_2n_1+s_1n_3a_3s_2n_2^2-s_1n_3a_3b_2n_2+\\+a_1n_2s_2a_3n_1^2+a_1n_2a_2s_3n_2n_3+a_1n_2a_2a_3n_1n_3+a_1n_2s_3b_2n_1+a_1n_2a_3s_2n_2^2-a_1n_2a_3b_2n_2$$

and further

$$0 = -b_1b_2b_3n_1^2n_3^2 + b_1b_2b_3n_1^2 + b_1b_3a_2n_1^3n_2n_3 - b_1b_2a_3n_1^3n_2n_3 + b_1a_2a_3n_1^4 - \\ +b_1b_2b_3n_2^2 + b_1b_2b_3n_3^2 - b_1b_2b_3 - b_1b_3a_2n_1n_2n_3 + b_1b_2a_3n_1n_2n_3 - b_1a_2a_3n_1^2 + \\ -b_1b_3a_2n_1n_2n_3^3 + b_1b_3a_2n_1n_2n_3 + b_1b_3a_2n_2n_3n_1^3 - b_1b_2a_3n_1n_2n_2^2n_3 + b_1a_3a_2n_1^2n_2^2 - \\ -b_2b_3a_1n_3n_2n_1 + a_1b_3a_2n_3^4 - a_1b_3a_2n_3^2 + b_3a_1a_2n_3^2n_1^2 + b_2a_1a_3n_2^2n_3^2 - a_1a_2a_3n_1n_2n_3 + \\ +b_1b_2a_3n_2n_3n_1^3 + b_1a_2b_3n_3^3n_1n_2 + b_1a_2a_3n_1^2n_3^2 + b_1b_2b_3n_3^2n_1^2 + b_1b_2a_3n_1n_3n_2^3 - b_1a_3b_2n_1n_2n_3 + \\ +a_1b_2a_3n_2^2n_1^2 + a_1a_2b_3n_2^2n_3^2 + a_1a_2a_3n_1n_2n_3 + a_1b_2b_3n_1n_2n_3 + a_1a_3b_2n_2^4 - a_1a_3b_2n_2^2 \equiv 0 \ ;$$

it is easily verified that all terms cancel out each other indeed.

Let let us consider one other example and start with a complex scalar plane wave:

$$\Phi(x) = e^{i(k_0x_0 - k_jx_j)} , \qquad L_c = k_c\cos\varphi , \ K_c = k_c\sin\varphi ;$$

and eqs. (43) take the form

$$-(a_0k_0 + b_jk_j) k_c \cos \varphi + (b_0k_0 - a_jk_j) k_c \sin \varphi = 0;$$
  
+(b\_0k\_0 - a\_jk\_j) k\_c \cos \varphi + (a\_0k\_0 + b\_jk\_j) k\_c \sin \varphi = 0.

from whence it follow

$$b_0k_0 + (a_1k_1 + a_2k_2 + a_3k_3) = 0$$
,  $a_0k_0 - (b_1k_1 + b_2k_2 + b_3k_3) = 0$ .

or

$$b_0 = -(a_1n_1 + a_2n_2 + a_3n_3), a_0 = +(b_1n_1 + b_2n_2 + b_3n_3). (61)$$

Correspondingly, eq. (37) gives

$$\Psi(x) = \left[ +(b_1n_1 + b_2n_2 + b_3n_3) - i(a_1n_1 + a_2n_2 + a_3n_3) \right] \Psi^0 +$$

$$+(a_1 + ib_1)\Psi^1 + (a_2 + ib_2)\Psi^2 + (a_3 + ib_3)\Psi^3 = \begin{vmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbf{E} + ic\mathbf{B} \end{vmatrix}$$

where (see (27))

$$\{\Psi^{0}, \Psi^{1}, \Psi^{2}, \Psi^{3}\} = \begin{vmatrix} i & -n_{1} & -n_{2} & -n_{3} \\ n_{1} & i & n_{3} & -n_{2} \\ n_{2} & -n_{3} & i & n_{1} \\ n_{3} & n_{2} & -n_{1} & i \end{vmatrix} ik_{0}A \left(\cos \varphi + i\sin \varphi\right), .$$

Further we get

$$\begin{split} \Psi &= [-i(\mathbf{a}+i\mathbf{b}) \ \mathbf{n} \ \Psi^0 + (a_1+ib_1)\Psi^1 + (a_2+ib_2)\Psi^2 + (a_3+ib_3)\Psi^3] = \\ &= \begin{vmatrix} (\mathbf{a}+i\mathbf{b})\mathbf{n} - (a_1+ib_1)n_1 - (a_2+ib_2)n_2 - (a_3+ib_3)n_3 \\ -i(\mathbf{a}+i\mathbf{b})\mathbf{n} \ n_1 + (a_1+ib_1)i + (a_2+ib_2)n_3 - (a_3+ib_3)n_2 \\ -i(\mathbf{a}+i\mathbf{b})\mathbf{n} \ n_2 - (a_1+ib_1)n_3 + (a_2+ib_2)i + (a_3+ib_3)n_1 \\ -i(\mathbf{a}+i\mathbf{b})\mathbf{n} \ n_3 + (a_1+ib_1)n_2 - (a_2+ib_2)n_1 + (a_3+ib_3)i \end{vmatrix} ik_0 A \ (\cos\varphi + i\sin\varphi), \ . \end{split}$$

that is

$$\Psi = \begin{vmatrix} (\mathbf{a} + i\mathbf{b})\mathbf{n}n_1 - (a_1 + ib_1) + i(a_2 + ib_2)n_3 - i(a_3 + ib_3)n_2 \\ (\mathbf{a} + i\mathbf{b})\mathbf{n}n_2 - i(a_1 + ib_1)n_3 - (a_2 + ib_2) + i(a_3 + ib_3)n_1 \\ (\mathbf{a} + i\mathbf{b})\mathbf{n}n_3 + i(a_1 + ib_1)n_2 - i(a_2 + ib_2)n_1 - (a_3 + ib_3) \end{vmatrix} k_0 A \left(\cos \varphi + i \sin \varphi\right)$$

which is equivalent to

$$\Psi = \begin{vmatrix}
0 \\
(\mathbf{an}n_1 - a_1 - b_2n_3 + b_3n_2) + i(\mathbf{bn}n_1 - b_1 - n_2a_3 + n_3a_2 \\
(\mathbf{an}n_2 - a_2 - b_3n_1 + b_1n_3) + i(\mathbf{bn}n_2 - b_2 - n_3a_1 + n_1a_2 \\
(\mathbf{an}n_3 - a_3 - b_1n_2 + b_2n_1) + i(\mathbf{bn}n_3 - b_3 - n_1a_2 + n_2a_1
\end{vmatrix} k_0 A \left(\cos \varphi + i \sin \varphi\right).$$
(62)

With the use of notation

$$L = n (na) - a - b \times n$$
,  $C = n (nb) - b + a \times n$ ,

relationship (62) can be written shorter

$$\mathbf{E} + ic\mathbf{B} = (\mathbf{L} + i\mathbf{C}) k_0 A (\cos \varphi + i \sin \varphi) , \qquad (63)$$

from whence it follow

$$\mathbf{E} = k_0 A \left(\cos \varphi \mathbf{L} - \sin \varphi \mathbf{C}\right), \qquad c\mathbf{B} = k_0 A \left(\sin \varphi \mathbf{L} + \cos \varphi \mathbf{C}\right). \tag{64}$$

One can readily prove identities:

$$\mathbf{L}^{2} = \mathbf{C}^{2} = \mathbf{a}^{2} + \mathbf{b}^{2} - (\mathbf{n}\mathbf{a})^{2} - (\mathbf{n}\mathbf{b})^{2} + 2\mathbf{n} (\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b}) ,$$

$$\mathbf{L} \mathbf{C} = 0 , \qquad \mathbf{E}\mathbf{B} = 0 , \qquad \mathbf{E}^{2} = c^{2}\mathbf{B}^{2} ,$$

$$\mathbf{L}\mathbf{n} = 0 , \qquad \mathbf{C}\mathbf{n} = 0, \mathbf{E}\mathbf{n} = 0 , \qquad \mathbf{B}\mathbf{n} = 0 .$$
(65)

General expressions for L, C may be decomposed into the sum:

$$\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{L}_{1} + \mathbf{L}_{2} , \qquad \mathbf{C} = \mathbf{C}_{1} + \mathbf{C}_{2} ,$$

$$I \qquad \mathbf{b} = 0 : \qquad \mathbf{L}_{1} = \mathbf{n} (\mathbf{n}\mathbf{a}) - \mathbf{a} , \qquad \mathbf{C}_{1} = \mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{n} ,$$

$$\mathbf{E}_{1} \times c\mathbf{B}_{1} = k_{0}^{2}A^{2} (\mathbf{L}_{1} \times \mathbf{C}_{1}) = k_{0}^{2}A^{2} [a^{2} - (\mathbf{n}\mathbf{a})^{2}] \mathbf{n} ;$$

$$II \qquad \mathbf{a} = 0 : \qquad \mathbf{L}_{2} = -\mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{n} , \qquad \mathbf{C}_{2} = \mathbf{n} (\mathbf{n}\mathbf{b}) - \mathbf{b} ,$$

$$\mathbf{E}_{2} \times c\mathbf{B}_{2} = k_{0}^{2}A^{2} (\mathbf{L}_{2} \times \mathbf{C}_{2}) = k_{0}^{2}A^{2} [b^{2} - (\mathbf{n}\mathbf{b})^{2}] \mathbf{n} , \qquad (66)$$

In other words, these two electromagnetic wave are clockwise polarized.

For a particular case when  $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{a}$ , we get

$$I \mathbf{L}_{1} = \mathbf{n} \ (\mathbf{n}\mathbf{a}) - \mathbf{a} \ , \mathbf{C}_{1} = \mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{n} \ ,$$

$$\mathbf{E}_{1} = k_{0} A (\cos \varphi \ \mathbf{L}_{1} - \sin \varphi \ \mathbf{C}_{1}) \ ,$$

$$c\mathbf{B}_{1} = k_{0} A \ (\sin \varphi \mathbf{L}_{1} + \cos \varphi \mathbf{C}_{1}) \ ;$$

$$II \mathbf{L}_{2} = -\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{n} = -\mathbf{C}_{1} \ , \mathbf{C}_{2} = \mathbf{n} \ (\mathbf{n}\mathbf{a}) - \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{L}_{1} \ ,$$

$$\mathbf{E}_{2} = k_{0} A (-\sin \varphi \ \mathbf{L}_{1} - \cos \varphi \ \mathbf{C}_{1}) \ ,$$

$$c\mathbf{B}_{2} = k_{0} A \ (-\sin \varphi \mathbf{C}_{1} + \cos \varphi \mathbf{L}_{1}) \ . (67)$$

so constructed waves are linearly independent and orthogonal:

$$\mathbf{E}_1 \mathbf{E}_2 = 0 , \qquad \mathbf{B}_1 \mathbf{B}_2 = 0 . \tag{68}$$

In view of linearity of the Maxwell equations any linear combination of the type is a solution as well:

$$\mathbf{E} = c_1 \, \mathbf{E}_1 + c_2 \, \mathbf{E}_2 \,, \qquad \mathbf{B} = c_1 \, \mathbf{B}_1 + c_2 \, \mathbf{B}_2 \,.$$
 (69)

## 9 Cylindrical waves

Let us start with a cylindrical scalar wave (below for brevity  $k_0 = E$ ):

$$\Phi = e^{iEx_0} e^{ikz} e^{im\phi} R(\rho) , \qquad (70)$$

$$x_{1} = \rho \cos \phi , x_{2} = \rho \sin \phi , x_{3} = z ,$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}} = \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x_{1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} + \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} = \cos \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} - \frac{\sin \phi}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} ,$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}} = \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x_{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} + \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} = \sin \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} + \frac{\cos \phi}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} .$$
(71)

Corresponding electromagnetic solutions are to be constructed on the base of relations:

$$\{\Psi^{0}, \Psi^{1}, \Psi^{2}, \Psi^{3}\} = \lambda_{0}\Psi^{0} + \lambda_{1}\Psi^{1} + \lambda_{2}\Psi^{2} + \lambda_{3}\Psi^{3} = \begin{vmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbf{E} + ic\mathbf{B} \end{vmatrix}.$$
 (72)

Let us find  $F_a$ :

$$F_{0} = iE e^{iEx_{0}} e^{ikz} e^{im\phi} R(\rho) , \qquad F_{3} = ik e^{iEx_{0}} e^{ikz} e^{im\phi} R(\rho) ,$$

$$F_{1} = e^{iEx_{0}} e^{ikz} e^{im\phi} \left(\cos\phi \frac{d}{d\rho} - im \frac{\sin\phi}{\rho}\right) R ,$$

$$F_{2} = e^{iEx_{0}} e^{ikz} e^{im\phi} \left(\sin\phi \frac{d}{d\rho} + im \frac{\cos\phi}{\rho}\right) R ,$$

$$(73)$$

The main equations to solve are

$$(-\lambda_0 \partial_0 + i\lambda_i \partial_i) F_c = 0 \tag{74}$$

or

$$\left[-i\lambda_0 E - \lambda_3 k + i\lambda_1 \left(\cos\phi \frac{\partial}{\partial\rho} - \frac{\sin\phi}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial\phi}\right) + i\lambda_2 \left(\sin\phi \frac{\partial}{\partial\rho} + \frac{\cos\phi}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial\phi}\right)\right] F_c = 0,$$

or

$$\left[-i\lambda_0 E - \lambda_3 k + i(\lambda_1 \cos \phi + \lambda_2 \sin \phi) \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} + i \frac{-\lambda_1 \sin \phi + \lambda_2 \cos \phi}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}\right] F_c = 0.$$
 (75)

Let c = 1:

$$[-i\lambda_0 E - \lambda_3 k + i(\lambda_1 \cos \phi + \lambda_2 \sin \phi) \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} + i\frac{-\lambda_1 \sin \phi + \lambda_2 \cos \phi}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}]e^{im\phi} (\cos \phi \frac{d}{d\rho} - im\frac{\sin \phi}{\rho})R = 0,$$

Let c=2:

$$[-i\lambda_0 E - \lambda_3 k + i(\lambda_1 \cos \phi + \lambda_2 \sin \phi) \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} + i\frac{-\lambda_1 \sin \phi + \lambda_2 \cos \phi}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}]e^{im\phi} \left(\sin \phi \frac{d}{d\rho} + im\frac{\cos \phi}{\rho}\right)R = 0,$$

Noting that

$$F_{1} + iF_{2} = e^{iEx_{0}} e^{ikz} e^{i(m+1)\phi} \left(\frac{d}{d\rho} - \frac{m}{\rho}\right) R ,$$

$$F_{1} - iF_{2} = e^{iEx_{0}} e^{ikz} e^{i(m-1)\phi} \left(\frac{d}{d\rho} + \frac{m}{\rho}\right) R ,$$
(76)

from previous two equations we get

$$\left[-i\lambda_0 E - \lambda_3 k + i(\lambda_1 \cos \phi + \lambda_2 \sin \phi) \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} + \frac{\lambda_1 \sin \phi - \lambda_2 \cos \phi}{\rho} (m+1)\right] \left(\frac{d}{d\rho} - \frac{m}{\rho}\right) R = 0,$$

$$\left[-i\lambda_0 E - \lambda_3 k + i(\lambda_1 \cos \phi + \lambda_2 \sin \phi) \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} + \frac{\lambda_1 \sin \phi - \lambda_2 \cos \phi}{\rho} (m-1)\right] \left(\frac{d}{d\rho} + \frac{m}{\rho}\right) R = 0.$$
 (77)

In turn, when c = 0, 3, we get one the same equation:

$$\left[-i\lambda_0 E - \lambda_3 k + i(\lambda_1 \cos \phi + \lambda_2 \sin \phi) \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} + \frac{\lambda_1 \sin \phi - \lambda_2 \cos \phi}{\rho} m\right] R(\rho) = 0, \qquad (78)$$

We readily note that there exist very simple way to satisfy these three equations on parameters  $\lambda_c$ :

$$-i\lambda_0 E - \lambda_3 k = 0 , \qquad \lambda_1 = 0 , \qquad \lambda_2 = 0 . \tag{79}$$

Let us demonstrate that no other solutions exist. To this end, with notation

$$C = -i\lambda_0 E - \lambda_3 k$$
,  $A = \lambda_1 \cos \phi + \lambda_2 \sin \phi$ ,  $B = \lambda_1 \sin \phi - \lambda_2 \cos \phi$ .

let us rewrite eqs. (77) - (78) in the form

$$[C + iA\frac{d}{d\rho} + \frac{B}{\rho}(m+1)](\frac{dR}{d\rho} - \frac{m}{\rho}R) = 0,$$

$$[C + iA\frac{d}{d\rho} + \frac{B}{\rho}(m-1)](\frac{dR}{d\rho} + \frac{m}{\rho}R) = 0,$$

$$[C + iA\frac{d}{d\rho} + \frac{B}{\rho}m]R = 0.$$
(80)

Combining two first equations in (80)(summing and subtracting), we get

$$C\frac{dR}{d\rho} + iA\frac{d^2R}{d\rho^2} + \frac{mB}{\rho}\frac{dR}{d\rho} - \frac{mB}{\rho^2}R = 0 ,$$

$$-\frac{mC}{\rho}R - imA\frac{d}{d\rho}\frac{R}{\rho} + \frac{B}{\rho}\frac{dR}{d\rho} - m^2\frac{B}{\rho^2}R = 0 ,$$

$$CR + iA\frac{dR}{d\rho} + m\frac{B}{\rho}R = 0 .$$
(81)

After differentiating the third equation will look

$$C\frac{dR}{d\rho} + iA\frac{d^2R}{d\rho^2} + \frac{mB}{\rho}\frac{dR}{d\rho} - \frac{mB}{\rho^2}R = 0$$

which coincides with the first equation in (81). Therefore, the system (81) is equivalent to

$$-\frac{mC}{\rho}R - imA\frac{d}{d\rho}\frac{R}{\rho} + \frac{B}{\rho}\frac{dR}{d\rho} - m^2\frac{B}{\rho^2}R = 0,$$

$$CR + iA\frac{dR}{d\rho} + m\frac{B}{\rho}R = 0.$$
(82)

The system (??) can be rewritten as follows:

$$-m\frac{C}{\rho}R + \frac{B}{\rho}\frac{dR}{d\rho} + i\frac{mA}{\rho^2}R - \frac{m}{\rho}\left(iA\frac{dR}{d\rho} + m\frac{B}{\rho}R\right) = 0,$$

$$CR + iA\frac{dR}{d\rho} + m\frac{B}{\rho}R = 0.$$

here the first equation with the use of the second equation gives

$$\frac{B}{\rho} \frac{dR}{d\rho} + i \frac{mA}{\rho^2} R = 0 .$$

Therefore system (82) is equivalent to

$$B\frac{dR}{d\rho} + i\frac{mA}{\rho}R = 0,$$
  
$$iA\frac{dR}{d\rho} + m\frac{B}{\rho}R + CR = 0$$

which in turn is equivalent to

$$(B+iA)\left(\frac{d}{d\rho} + \frac{m}{\rho}\right)R + C R = 0,$$

$$(B-iA)\left(\frac{d}{d\rho} - \frac{m}{\rho}\right)R - C R = 0,$$
(83)

Noting identity  $(A+iB)(A-iB)=\lambda_1^2+\lambda_2^2$ , one reduces eqs. (83) to the form

$$(\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2)(\frac{d}{d\rho} + \frac{m}{\rho})R + (B - iA)C R = 0 ,$$
  
$$(\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2)(\frac{d}{d\rho} - \frac{m}{\rho})R + (B + iA)C R = 0 .$$
 (84)

Remembering that

$$C = -i\lambda_0 E - \lambda_3 k$$
,  $A = \lambda_1 \cos \phi + \lambda_2 \sin \phi$ ,  $B = \lambda_1 \sin \phi - \lambda_2 \cos \phi$ .

we immediately conclude that eqs. (84) can be satisfied only by the following way:

$$(\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2)$$
,  $C = 0$ . (85)

In other words, This means that relations (79) provide us with the only possible solution in terms of two  $(1 \times 4)$  columns

$$\Psi = \lambda_0 \Psi^0 + \lambda_3 \Psi^3 , \qquad \underline{-\lambda_0 E + i \lambda_3 k = 0} ,$$

$$\Psi^0 = \begin{vmatrix} iF_0(x) \\ -F_1(x) \\ -F_2(x) \\ -F_3(x) \end{vmatrix}, \qquad \Psi^3 = \begin{vmatrix} F_3(x) \\ F_2(x) \\ -F_1(x) \\ iF_0(x) \end{vmatrix},$$

$$F_0 = iE \Phi , \qquad F_1 = (\cos \phi \frac{d}{d\rho} - im \frac{\sin \phi}{\rho}) \Phi ,$$

$$F_2 = (\sin \phi \frac{d}{d\rho} + im \frac{\cos \phi}{\rho}) \Phi , \qquad F_3 = ik \Phi ,$$
(86)

Solution explicitly reads by components:

$$(\Psi)_0 = (-\lambda_0 E + i \lambda_3 k) \Phi = 0 ,$$

$$E_3 + iB_3 = (\Psi)_3 = (-\lambda_0 ik - \lambda_3 E) \Phi = -\lambda_3 \frac{E^2 - k^2}{E} \Phi ,$$

$$E_1 + iB_1 = (\Psi)_1 = \left[ -\lambda_0 \left( \cos \phi \frac{d}{d\rho} - im \frac{\sin \phi}{\rho} \right) + \lambda_3 \left( \sin \phi \frac{d}{d\rho} + im \frac{\cos \phi}{\rho} \right) \right] \Phi ;$$

$$E_2 + iB_2 = (\Psi)_2 = \left[ \left( -\lambda_0 \left( \sin \phi \frac{d}{d\rho} + im \frac{\cos \phi}{\rho} \right) - \lambda_3 \left( \cos \phi \frac{d}{d\rho} - im \frac{\sin \phi}{\rho} \right) \right] \Phi .$$

After simple rewriting we get

$$E_3 + iB_3 = (\Psi)_3 = -\lambda_3 \frac{E^2 - k^2}{E} \Phi ,$$

$$E_1 + iB_1 = \frac{\lambda_3}{E} \left[ -ik \left( \cos \phi \frac{d}{d\rho} - im \frac{\sin \phi}{\rho} \right) + E \left( \sin \phi \frac{d}{d\rho} + im \frac{\cos \phi}{\rho} \right) \right] \Phi ,$$

$$E_2 + iB_2 = \frac{\lambda_3}{E} \left[ \left( -ik \left( \sin \phi \frac{d}{d\rho} + im \frac{\cos \phi}{\rho} \right) - E \left( \cos \phi \frac{d}{d\rho} - im \frac{\sin \phi}{\rho} \right) \right] \Phi .$$

For special cases we get most simplicity:

$$\underline{k = +E}, \qquad E_3 + iB_3 = 0 ,$$

$$E_{1}+iB_{1} = \lambda_{3} \left[ -i\left(\cos\phi \frac{d}{d\rho} - im\frac{\sin\phi}{\rho}\right) + \left(\sin\phi \frac{d}{d\rho} + im\frac{\cos\phi}{\rho}\right) \right] \Phi = -i\lambda_{3} e^{i\phi} \left(\frac{d}{d\rho} - \frac{m}{\rho}\right) \Phi ,$$

$$E_{2}+iB_{2} = \lambda_{3} \left[ \left( -i\left(\sin\phi \frac{d}{d\rho} + im\frac{\cos\phi}{\rho}\right) - \left(\cos\phi \frac{d}{d\rho} - im\frac{\sin\phi}{\rho}\right) \right] \Phi = -\lambda_{3} e^{i\phi} \left(\frac{d}{d\rho} - \frac{m}{\rho}\right) \Phi ,$$

$$\frac{k = -E}{\rho}, \qquad E_{3}+iB_{3} = 0 ,$$

$$E_{1}+iB_{1} = \lambda_{3} \left[ +i\left(\cos\phi \frac{d}{d\rho} - im\frac{\sin\phi}{\rho}\right) + \left(\sin\phi \frac{d}{d\rho} + im\frac{\cos\phi}{\rho}\right) \right] \Phi = i\lambda_{3} e^{-i\phi} \left(\frac{d}{d\rho} + \frac{m}{\rho}\right) \Phi ,$$

$$E_{2}+iB_{2} = \lambda_{3} \left[ \left( +i\left(\sin\phi \frac{d}{d\rho} + im\frac{\cos\phi}{\rho}\right) - \left(\cos\phi \frac{d}{d\rho} - im\frac{\sin\phi}{\rho}\right) \right] \Phi = -\lambda_{3} e^{-i\phi} \left(\frac{d}{d\rho} + \frac{m}{\rho}\right) \Phi .$$

It seems reasonable to expect further developments in this matrix based approach to Maxwell theory, as a possible base to explore general method to separate the variables for Maxwell equations in different coordinates. Also it would be desirable to extent this approach to Maxwell theory in curved space – time models.

Authors are grateful to all participants of scientific seminar of Laboratory of Theoretical Physics of Institute of Physic on NASB for discussion and advice.

#### References

- [1] H.A. Lorentz Electromagnetic phenomena in a system moving with any velocity less than that of light. Proc. Royal Acad. Amsterdam. 1904. Vol. 6. P. 809-831.
- [2] H. Poincaré. Sur la dynamique de l'électron. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris. 140, 1504-1508 (1905);
   Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo. 21, 129-175 (1906).
- [3] A. Einstein. Zur Elektrodynamik der bewegten Körper. Annalen der Physik. 17, 891-921 (1905).
- [4] H. Minkowski. Die Grundlagen für die electromagnetischen Vorgänge in bewegten Kërpern. Nachrichten von der Königlichen Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften zu Göttingen, mathematisch-physikalische Klasse. 53-111 (1908); reprint in Math. Ann. Bd. 68, 472-525 (1910).
- [5] L. Silberstein. Elektromagnetische Grundgleichungen in bivectorieller Behandlung. Ann. Phys. (Leiptzig) **22**, 579-586 (1907)
- [6] L. Silberstein. Nachtrag zur Abhandlung Über "elektromagnetische Grundgleichungen in bivektorieller Behandlung". Ann. der Phys. 24, 783-784 (1907); L. Silberstein. The Theory of Relativity. London, Macmillan. 1914.
- [7] H. Weber. Die partiellen Differential-Gleichungen der mathematischen Physik nach Riemann's Vorlesungen. Friedrich Vieweg und Sohn. Braunschweig. 1901. P. 348.
- [8] R. Marcolongo. Les transformations de Lorentz et les équations de l'électrodynamique. Annales de la Faculté des Sciences de Toulouse. 4, 429-468 (1914).
- [9] H. Bateman. The Mathematical Analysis of Electrical and Optical Wave-Motion on the Basis of Maxwells Equations. Cambridge University Press m, 1915.
- [10] Kornel Lanczos. Die Funktionentheoretischen Beziehungen der Maxwellschen Aethergleichungen - Ein Beitrag zur Relativitätsund Elektronentheorie. Verlagsbuchhandlung Josef N\u00e4meth. Budapest. 1919. 80 pages.

- [11] W. Gordon. Zur Lichtfortpanzungnach der Relativitätstheorie. Ann. Phys. (Leipzig). 72, 421-456 (1923)..
- [12] L.I. Mandelstam, I.E. Tamm. Elektrodynamik der anisotropen Medien und der speziallen Relativitatstheorie. Math. Annalen. 95, 154-160 (1925).
- [13] I.E. Tamm. Electrodynamics of an anisotropic medium and the special theory of relativity. Zh. Russ. Fiz.-Khim. O-va Chast. Fiz. **56**, no 2-3, 248 (1924).
- [14] I.E. Tamm. Crystal optics in the theory of relativity and its relationship to the geometry of a biquadratic form. Zh. Russ. Fiz.-Khim. O-va Chast. Fiz. **57**, no 3-4, 1 (1925).
- [15] P.A.M. Dirac. The quantum theory of the electron. // Proc. Roy. Soc. A. 1928. Vol. 117. P. 610-624; The quantum theory of the electron. Part II. // Proc. Roy. Soc. A. 1928. Vol. 118. P. 351-361.
- [16] F. Möglich. Zur Quantentheorie des rotierenden Elektrons. Zeit. Phys. 48, 852-867 (1928).
- [17] D. Ivanenko, L. Landau. Zur theorie des magnetischen electrons. Zeit. Phys. 48, 340-348 (1928).
- [18] J. Neumann. Einige Bemerkungen zur Diracschen Theorie des relativistischen Drehelectrons Zeit. Phys. 48, 868-881 (1929).
- [19] B.L. van der Waerden. Spinoranalyse. Nachr. Akad. Wiss. Gottingen. Math. Physik. Kl. 100-109 (1929).
- [20] G. Juvet. Opérateurs de Dirac et équations de Maxwell. Comm. Math. Helv. 2., 225-235 (1930).
- [21] O. Laporte, G.E. Uhlenbeck. Application of spinor analysis to the Maxwell and Dirac equations. Phys. Rev. **37**, 1380-1397 (1931).
- [22] J.R. Oppenheimer. Note on light quanta and the electromagnetic field. Phys. Rev. 38, 725-746 (1931).
- [23] E. Majorana. Scientific Papers, unpublished, deposited at the "Domus Galileana". Pisa, quaderno 2, p.101/1; 3, p. 11, 160; 15, p. 16;17, p. 83, 159.
- [24] Louis de Broglie. L'équation d'ondes du photon. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris. 199, 445-448 (1934).
- [25] Louis de Broglie, M.J. Winter. Sur le spin du photon. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris. 199, 813-816 (1934).
- [26] G. Petiau. University of Paris. Thesis (1936); Acad. Roy. de Belg. Classe Sci. Mem. 2 (1936).
- [27] A. Proca. Sur les equations fondamentales des particules élémentaires. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris. 202, 1490-1492 (1936).
- [28] Yu.B. Rumer. Spinor analysis. Moscow. 1936 (in Russian).
- [29] R.Y. Duffin. On the characteristic matrices of covariant systems. Phys. Rev. 1938. Vol. 54. P. 1114.
- [30] Louis de Broglie. Sur un cas de réductibilité en mécanique ondulatoire des particules de spin 1. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris. **208**, 1697-1700 (1939).
- [31] N. Kemmer. The particle aspect of meson theory. Proc. Roy. Soc. London. A. 173, 91-116 (1939).
- [32] H.J. Bhabha. Classical theory of meson. Proc. Roy. Soc. London. A. 172, 384 (1939).
- [33] F.J. Belinfante. The under equation of the meson field. Physica. 6, 870 (1939).
- [34] F.J. Belinfante. Spin of Mesons. Physica. 6, 887-898 (1939).
- [35] A.H. Taub. Spinor equations for the meson and their solution when no field is present. Phys. Rev. (1939). Vol. 56. P. 799-810.

- [36] Louis de Broglie. Champs réels et champs complexes en théorie électromagnétique quantique du rayonnement. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris. **211**, 41-44 (1940).
- [37] S. Sakata, M. Taketani. On the wave equation of the meson. Proc. Phys. Math. Soc. Japan. 22, 757-70 (1940); Reprinted in: Suppl. Progr. Theor. Phys. 22, 84 (1955).
- [38] J. Stratton. Electromagnetic Theory. McGraw-Hill. 1941. New York.
- [39] E. Schrödinger. Pentads, tetrads, and triads of meson matrices. Proc. Roy. Irish. Acad. A. 48, 135-146 (1943).
- [40] E. Schrödinger. Systematics of meson matrices. Proc. Roy. Irish. Acad. 49, 29 (1943).
- [41] N. Kemmer. The algebra of meson matrices. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 39, 189-196 (1943).
- [42] W. Heitler. On the particle equation of the meson. Proc. Roy. Irish. Acad. 49, 1 (1943).
- [43] A. Einstein, V. Bargmann. Bivector fields. I, II. Annals of Math. 45, 1-14; 15-23 (1944).
- [44] A. Proca. Sur les équations relativistes des particules élémentaires. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris. 223, 270-272 (1946).
- [45] Harish-Chandra. On the algebra of the meson matrices. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 43, 414 (1946).
- [46] Yarish-Chandra. The correspondence between the particle and wave aspects of the meson and the photon. Proc. Roy. Soc. London. A. **186**, 502-525 (1946).
- [47] A. Mercier. Sur les fondements de l'électrodynamique classique (méthode axiomatique). Arch. Sci. Phys. Nat. Genàve. 2, 584-588 (1949).
- [48] K. Imaeda. Linearization of Minkowski space and five-dimensional space. Progress of Theor. Phys. 5, no 1, 133-134 (1950).
- [49] H. Rosen. Special theories of relativity. Amer. J. Phys. 20, 161-164 (1952).
- [50] I. Fujiwara. On the Duffin-Kemmer algebra. Progr. Theor. Phys. 10, 589-616 (1953).
- [51] T. Ohmura. A new formulation on the electromagnetic field. Prog. Theor. Phys. **16**, 684-685 (1956).
- [52] A.A. Borgardt. Matrix aspects of the boson theory. Sov. Phys. JETP. 30, 334-341 (1956).
- [53] Fedorov F.I., On the reduction of wave equations for spin-0 and spin-1 to the Hamiltonian form, JETP, 4, 139-141, (1957).
- [54] T. Kuohsien. Sur les theories matricielles du photon. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris. 245, 141-144 (1957).
- [55] S.A. Bludman. Some Theoretical Consequences of a Particle Having Mass Zero. Phys. Rev. 107, 1163-1168 (1957).
- [56] R.H. Good Jr. Particle aspect of the electromagnetic field equations. Phys. Rev. 105, 1914-1919 (1957).
- [57] H.E. Moses. A spinor representation of Maxwell equations. Nuovo Cimento Suppl. 1958. No 1. P. 1-18.
- [58] J.S. Lomont. Dirac-like wave equations for particles of zero rest mass and their quantization. Phys. Rev. 11, 1710-1716 (1958).
- [59] A.A. Borgardt. Wave equations for a photon. JETF. **34**, 1323-1325 (1958).
- [60] E. Moses. Solutions of Maxwell's equations in terms of a spinor notation: the direct and inverse problems. Phys. Rev. 113, 1670-1679 (1959).
- [61] N. Kemmer. On the theory of particles of spin 1. Helv. Phys. Acta. 33, 829-838 (1960).
- [62] Behram Kurşunoĝlu. Complex orthogonal and antiorthogonal representation of Lorentz group. J. Math. Phys. **2**, 22-32 (1961).

- [63] W.K.H. Panofsky, M. Phillips. Classical Electricity and Magnetics. Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, 1962.
- [64] A.A. Bogush, F.I. Fedorov. On properties of the Duffin-Kemmer matrices. Doklady AN BSSR. 6, No 2, 81-85, (1962).
- [65] A.J. Macfarlane. On the restricted Lorentz group and groups homomorphically related to it. J. Math. Phys. 3, 1116-1129 (1962).
- [66] Mendel Sachs, Solomon L. Schwebel. On covariant formulations of the Maxwell-Lorentz theory of electromagnetism. J. Math. Phys. 3, 843-848 (1962).
- [67] E.T. Newman, R. Penrose. An approach to gravitational radiation by a method of spin coefficients. J. Math. Phys. 3, 566-578 (1962).
- [68] J.R. Ellis. Maxwell's equations and theories of Maxwell form. Ph.D. thesis. University of London. 1964. 417 pages.
- [69] A.J. Macfarlane. Dirac matrices and the Dirac matrix description of Lorentz transformations. Commun. Math. Phys. 2, 133-146 (1966).
- [70] L. Oliver. Hamiltonian for a Kemmer particle in an electromagnetic field. Anales de Fisica. 64, 407 (1968).
- [71] J. Beckers, C. Pirotte. Vectorial meson equations in relation to photon description. Physica. **39**, 205 (1968).
- [72] G. Casanova. Particules neutre de spin 1. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris. A. 268, 673-676 (1969).
- [73] M. Carmeli. Group analysis of Maxwell equations. J. Math. Phys. 10, 1699-1703 (1969).
- [74] A.A. Bogush. To the theory of vector particles. Preprint of IF AN BSSR, (1971).
- [75] Eric A. Lord. Six-dimensional formulation of meson equations. Int. J. Theor. Phys. 5, 339-348 (1972).
- [76] H.E. Moses. Photon wave functions and the exact electromagnetic matrix elements for hydrogenic atoms. Phys. Rev. A. 8, 1710-1721 (1973).
- [77] D. Weingarten. Complex symmetries of electrodynamics. Ann. Phys. 76. P. 510-548 (1973).
- [78] R. Mignani, E. Recami, M. Baldo. About a Dirac-like equation for the photon, according to E. Majorana. Lett. Nuovo Cimento. 11, 568-572 (1974).
- [79] E.T. Newman. Maxwell equations and complex Minkowski space. J. Math. Phys. 14, 102-107 (1973).
- [80] T. Frankel. Maxwell's equations. Amer. Math. Mon. 81, 343-349 (1974).
- [81] B.M. Bolotowskij, C.N. Stoliarov. Contamporain state of electrodynamics of moving medias (unlimited medias). Eistein collection. Moskow. 179-275 (1974).
- [82] J.D. Jackson. Classical Electrodynamics. Wiley. New Yor. 1975.
- [83] J.D. Edmonds, Jr. Comment on the Dirac-like equation for the photon. Nuovo Cim. Lett. 13, 185-186 (1975).
- [84] F.I. Fedorov. The Lorentz group. Moskow. 1979.
- [85] V.P. Frolov. The Newman-Penrose method in the theory of general relativity. in: Problem in the general theory of relativity and theory of group representations. ed. N.G. Basov. Plenum. New York. 1979.
- [86] A. Da Silveira. Invariance algebras of the Dirac and Maxwell equations. Nouvo Cim. A. 56, 385-395 (1980).
- [87] P.K. Jena, P.C. Naik, T. Pradhan. Photon as the zero-mass limit of DKP field. J. Phys. A. 13, 2975-2978 (1980).

- [88] G. Venuri. A geometrical formulation of electrodynamics. Nuovo Cim. A. 65, 64-76 (1981).
- [89] T.L. Chow. A Dirac-like equation for the photon. J. Phys. A. 14, 2173-2174 (1981).
- [90] V.I. Fushchich, A.G. Nikitin. Symmetries of Maxwell's Equations. Kiev, 1983; Kluwer. Dordrecht. 1987.
- [91] V.N. Barykin, E.A. Tolkachev, L.M. Tomilchik. On symmetry aspects of choice of mnaterial equations in micriscopic electrodynamics of moving medias. Vesti AN BSSR. ser. fiz.-mat. 2, 96-98 (1982).
- [92] R.J. Cook. Photon dynamics. Phys. Rev. A. 25, 2164-2167 (1982).
- [93] R.J. Cook. Lorentz covariance of photon dynamics. Phys. Rev. A. 26, P. 2754-2760 (1982).
- [94] L.D. Landau, E.M. Lifschitz, L.P. Pitaevskii, Electrodynamics of Continuous Media. 2nd ed., Pergamon. Oxford. 1984.
- [95] A.V. Berezin, E.A. Tolkachev, F.I. Fedorov. Dual-invariant constitutive equations for rest hyrotropic medias. Doklady AN BSSR. **29**, 595-597 (1985).
- [96] E. Giannetto. A Majorana-Oppenheimer formulation of quantum electrodynamics. Lett. Nuovo Cim. 44, 140-144 (1985).
- [97] H.N. Nüez Yépez, A.L. Salas Brito, and C.A. Vargas. Electric and magnetic four-vectors in classical electrodynamics. Revista Mexicana de Fisica. 34, 636 (1988).
- [98] Kidd, R., J. Ardini, A. Anton. Evolution of the modern photon. Am. J. Phys. 57, 27 (1989).
- [99] E. Recami. Possible Physical Meaning of the Photon Wave-Function, According to Ettore Majorana. in Hadronic Mechanics and Non-Potential Interactions. Nova Sc. Pub., New York, 231-238 (1990).
- [100] A.V. Berezin, E.A. Tolkachev, A. Tregubovic, F.I. Fedorov. Quaternionic constitutive relations for moving hyrotropic medias. Zhurnal Prikladnoj Spektroskopii. 47, 113-118 (1987).
- [101] I.Yu. Krivsky, V.M. Simulik. Foundations of quantum electrodynamics in field strengths terms. Naukova Dumka. Kiev, 1992.
- [102] T. Inagaki. Quantum-mechanical approach to a free photon. Phys. Rev. A. 49, 2839-2843 (1994).
- [103] I. Bialynicki-Birula. On the wave function of the photon. Acta Phys. Polon. **86**, 97-116 (1994).
- [104] Bialynicki-Birula. Photon wave function. in Progress in Optics. **36**, P. 248-294, Ed. E. Wolf (North-Holland 1996); arXiv:quant-ph/050820.
- [105] J.F. Sipe. Photon wave functions. Phys. Rev. A. 52, 1875-1883 (1995).
- [106] P. Ghose. Relativistic quantum mechanics of spin-0 and spin-1 bosons. Found. Phys. 26, 1441-1455 (1996).
- [107] A. Gersten Maxwell equations as the one-photon quantum equation. Found. of Phys. Lett. 12, 291-8 (1998); arXiv:quant-ph/9911049.
- [108] Salvatore Esposito. Covariant Majorana formulation of electrodynamics. Found. Phys. 28, 231-244 (1998); arXiv:hep-th/9704144.
- [109] Valeri V. Dvoeglazov. Historical note on relativistic theories of electromagnetism. Apeiron. 5, no 1-2, 69-88.
- [110] Igor V. Kanatchikov. On the Duffin-Kemmer-Petiau formulation of the covariant Hamiltonian dynamics in field theory, Rep. Math. Phys., 46, 107-112, (2000).

- [111] Valeri V. Dvoeglazov. Generalized Maxwell and Weyl equations for massless particles. arXiv:math-ph/0102001.
- [112] A. Gsponer. On the "equivalence" of the Maxwell and Dirac equations. Int. J. Theor. Phys. 41, 689-694 (2002); arXiv:mathph/0201053.
- [113] T. Ivezić. True transformations relativity and electrodynamics. Found. Phys. **31**, 1139 (2001).
- [114] T. Ivezić. The invariant formulation of special relativity, or the "true transformations relativity", and electrodynamics. Annales de la Fondation Louis de Broglie. 27, 287-302 (2002).
- [115] T. Ivezić. An invariant formulation of special relativity, or the true transformations relativity and comparison with experiments. Found. Phys. Lett. 15, 27 (2002); arXiv:physics/0103026.
- [116] T. Ivezić. Invariant relativistic electrodynamics. Clifford algebra approach. arXiv:hep-th/0207250.
- [117] T. Ivezić. The proof that the standard transformations of E and B are not the Lorentz transformations. Found. Phys. **33**, 1339 (2003).
- [118] T. Ivezić. The difference between the standard and the Lorentz transformations of the electric and magnetic fields. Application to motional EMF. Found. Phys. Lett. 18, 301 (2005).
- [119] T. Ivezić. The proof that Maxwell's equations with the 3D E and B are not covariant upon the Lorentz transformations but upon the standard transformations. The new Lorentzinvariant field equations. Found. Phys. **35**, 1585 (2005).
- [120] T. Ivezić. Axiomatic geometric formulation of electromagnetism with only one axiom: the field equation for the bivector field F with an explanation of the Trouton-Noble experiment. Found. Phys. Lett. 18, 401 (2005).
- [121] Tomislav Ivezić. Lorentz Invariant Majorana Formulation of the Field Equations and Dirac-like Equation for the Free Photon. EJTP. 3, 131-142 (2006).
- [122] V. Kravchenko. On the relation between the Maxwell system and the Dirac equation. arXiv:mathph/0202009.
- [123] V.V. Varlamov. About Algebraic Foundations of Majorana-Oppenheimer Quantum Electrodynamics and de Broglie-Jordan Neutrino Theory of Light. Ann. Fond. L. de Broglie. 27, 273-286 (2003).
- [124] Sameen Ahmed Khan. Maxwell Optics: I. An exact matrix representation of the Maxwell equations in a medium. arXiv:physics/0205083; Maxwell Optics: II. An Exact Formalism. arXiv:physics/0205084; Maxwell Optics: III. Applications. arXiv:physics/0205085.
- [125] Stoil Doney. Complex structures in electrodynamics. arXiv:math-ph/0106008.
- [126] Stoil Donev. From electromagnetic duality to extended electrodynamics. Annales Fond. Broglie. 2004. Vol. 29. P. 375-392; arXiv:hep-th/0101137.
- [127] S. Donev, M. Tashkova. Extended Electrodynamics: A Brief Review. arXiv:hep-th/0403244
- [128] Iwo Bialynicki-Birula, Zofia Bialynicka-Birula. Beams of electromagnetic radiation carrying angular momentum: The Riemann-Silberstein vector and the classical-quantum correspondence. arXiv:quant-ph/0511011.
- [129] Landau L.D., Lifshitz E.M. The field theory. Voskow, 1973.
- [130] Red'kov V.M. Fields in Riemannian space and Lorentz group. Belarussian Science: Minsk, 2009.